

Table E1: Agency Comment Summary

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
Federal Agencies					
Indian and Northern Affairs Canada					
Marc-André Millaire – Litigations Management and Resolution Branch	Letter	10 September 2008	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inventory does not include active litigation in the vicinity of this property Unable to make any representations regarding potential or future claims The City of Toronto has a special relationship with the Litigation Management and Resolution Branch in which all aboriginal litigation inquiries are handled by City authorities Recommend directing all future land project inquiries located in Toronto to the City of Toronto 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Marie-Laurence Daigle – Specific Claims Branch	Letter	19 September 2008	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No specific claims have been submitted by First Nations in the vicinity of the area of interest You may wish to contact these First Nations to advise them of your intentions: Mississaugas of the New Credit First Nation, Six Nations of the Grand River 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
NAV Canada					
Paul Pinard	Email	23 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confirm if the height of above ground level will change when the line is replaced. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If the rail corridor is selected as the preferred option, then the towers in one section will likely increase in height. Towards the western end of the Study Area, there will be no change. It is still early in the process to speculate on the outcome and changes in conductor/tower height. 	01 March 2009
Transport Canada					
Haya Finan – Environmental Officer, Environment and Engineering	Email	16 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If any of the related project elements or activities may cross or affect a potentially navigable waterway, you are requested to prepare and submit an application in accordance with the requirements as outlined in the Navigable Waters Protection 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certain approvals under the Navigable Waters Protection Act or Railway Safety Act trigger the requirement for a federal EA under the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act. The proposed Midtown Project does not 	23 March 2009

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>Application Guide.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Certain approvals under the <i>Navigable Waters Protection Act</i> or <i>Railway Safety Act</i> trigger the requirement for a federal EA under the <i>Canadian Environmental Assessment Act</i>. 	<p>cross any water coursed therefore an application is not required.</p>	
Keith Reilly – Civil Aviation Safety Inspector	Email	19 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If Hydro One will be erecting a crane during construction of the Midtown project then Transport Canada needs to assess it for lighting and marking requirements as it may affect aviation safety. To have a crane assessed an Aeronautical Obstruction Clearance Form was included to be filled out and returned to Transport Canada 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attached a map showing the area of the proposed Project. Could you advise if we are on a flight path where we are proposing to erect cranes? 	14 January 2010
Keith Reilly – Civil Aviation Safety Inspector	Email	14 January 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The orange line electrical corridor is not on any flight path. No lighting or marking is required for the cranes at Carstowe Rd and MaClennan Ave. This assessment does not constitute authority for construction and you will have to contact other agencies as necessary for municipal permits. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Provincial Agencies					
Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs					
Pam Wheaton	Letter	20 October 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This project does not appear to be located in an area where First Nations(s) may have existing or asserted rights that could be impacted by your project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Ministry of the Attorney General					
Ria Tzimas	Letter	17 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forwarded letter to the Aboriginal and Ministry Relationships Branch of the Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs who handles these inquiries. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Ministry of the Environment					
Shannon McNeill	Letter	13 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>Ecosystem Protection and Restoration</u> – the following sensitive environmental features are located within or adjacent to the Study 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At present, the Project is at the stage of collecting pertinent data for the purpose of comparing and evaluating feasible 	28 April 2009

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>Area: Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest, Environmentally Sensitive Areas, Rare Species of Flora or Fauna, Watercourses and Woodlots. Recommends consulting with the MNR, DFO and local conservation authority.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Surface Water</u> – the MOE Guideline B-6, <i>Evaluating Construction Activities Impacting on Water Resources</i> should be used to plan and construct this Project. MOE’s <i>Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual</i> should be referenced in EA Document. • <u>Groundwater</u> - status of and potential impacts to water wells should be addressed. If the potential construction or decommissioning of water wells is identified as an issue, the EA should refer to Ontario Regulation 903, Wells, under the <i>Ontario Water Resource Act</i>. A Permit to Take Water will be required for any water takings that exceed 50,000 L of water per day. • <u>Dust</u> – if dust suppressants are proposed to be used, recommends the use of non-chloride based compounds to protect water quality. • <u>Contaminated Soils</u> – if soils are contaminated, you must determine how and where they are to be disposed of, consistent with the <i>Environmental Protection Act</i>. Locations of any underground storage tanks should be included in the EA with measures to ensure the integrity of these tanks. Include current or historical waste disposal sites. Identify any underground transmission lines in the Study Area • <u>Mitigation and Monitoring</u> – design and construction reports and plans should be based on a best management approach for 	<p>route alternatives.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Second set of PICs is expected to be held in June 2009. • Will keep your comments in mind as we proceed. • All mitigation measures will be outlined in our Draft Environmental Study Report, which will be publicly available for comment and review following the second set of PICs. • We have added you to our project mailing list and we will continue to provide you with updates and information about the Project. • Should you wish to discuss the Project in greater detail, we would be happy to arrange a meeting. 	

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>the prevention of impacts, protection of the existing environment, and opportunities for rehabilitation and enhancement of any impacted areas. Waste generated during construction must be disposed of in accordance with MOE requirements. Contractors must be made aware of all environmental considerations so that all environmental standards and commitments for both construction and operation are met. Mitigation measures should be clearly referenced in the EA and regularly monitored during the construction stage of the project. Post construction monitoring is encouraged.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Planning and Policy</u> – PPS contains policies that protect Ontario's Natural Heritage - applicable policies should be referenced in the EA. EA should demonstrate how this project adheres to relevant policies in the Places to Grow plan, which contain policies for Infrastructure to Support Growth • <u>Class EA Process</u> – the EA should provide clear and complete documentation of the planning process in order to allow traceability of decision making. Should demonstrate how the consultation provisions of the Class EA have been fulfilled, including documentation of all public consultation efforts undertaken during the planning process. Identify all concerns that were raised and how they were addressed, etc. Class EA requires consideration of effects of each alternative on all aspects of the environment. Include list of all subsequent permits or other approvals that may be required for the implementation of the preferred alternative. • <u>First Nations Consultation</u> – as part of the 		

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>stakeholder and agency consultation contact the Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs and the Department of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Submissions</u> – please submit the following: Copies of any PIC materials and handouts, draft copy of the EA a minimum of 30 days prior to filing for initial comments. A copy of the Notice of Completions and Final EA. 		
Ministry of Culture					
Alejandro Cifuentes - Heritage Planner	Email	15 December 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas of heritage concern are the Summerhill Station which is located across Birch Jct. This is a major heritage symbol for the city and it is advised to check with the City of Toronto as to whether or not this building has any vista/height designation by-laws attached to it. • The issue of “adjacent” to a heritage building is to be checked “yes” on the checklist as the protected building is right across the street from the project site. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are in the process of communicating with Toronto Heritage. 	
Municipal Agencies					
City of Toronto					
Councillor John Parker and Vanessa Rose	Meeting	27 November 2008	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hydro One and Toronto Hydro provided an overview of the Project. • Councillor Parker noted the City has a policy of increasing density, and questioned why Hydro One would consider other routes when the CPR corridor already exists. • It was explained that as part of the EA process, Hydro One is required to consider all reasonable alternatives, Hydro One’s policy is to first consider existing rights-of-way prior to developing new ‘green fields’, this approach is further recommended in the PPS. • Regarding construction, it was explained that it would be similar to a road construction project; trees would also need to be cut. • It was noted that the trees have also been planted (or grown) above cables which is a problem and these would have to be removed. • It was noted by the Councillor and his executive assistant that the change of towers would generate interest due to the height increase and greater visibility. • The TTC and TUCO were identified as agencies that would be consulted with. • Toronto Hydro to set up a meeting with Leaside Property Owners Association and Bennington Heights Ratepayers’ Association. 		N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
Councillor Case Ootes and Sunny Petrujkic	Meeting	04 December 2008	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One and Toronto Hydro provided an outline of the Project. Councillor Ootes understood and agreed there was a need to refurbish the aging cable and add another circuit to address growth in the City, and was surprised that Hydro One would consider options other than the CPR corridor but understood that the EA would require the evaluation of alternative routes. Toronto Hydro to provide information to Councillor Ootes regarding wind turbine at Exhibition Place. Hydro One to follow up with City on Transit initiative for Don Mills Road LRT and water treatment EA. Toronto Hydro to set-up meeting with Governor's Bridge Ratepayers' Association. 		N/A
Dr. David McKeown, Monica Campbell, Loren Vanderlinden - Toronto Public Health	Meeting	16 January 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One introduced the Project Objective was to provide an early briefing, understand the MOH issues and how to work together in light of the fact that this Project is first one to come online following the City of Toronto's Prudent Avoidance Policy. Dr McKeown indicated that Hydro One's overall approach to EMF was good with the understanding that it would be consistent with the Prudent Avoidance Policy. Doctor McKeown indicated that while Board of Health would look to working with Hydro One and Toronto on the Midtown Project, they must be seen as independent. We indicated that we would brief the Board of Health after each public information centre (PIC) on EMF concerns at these open houses. Doctor McKeown is prepared to work with us if the Board of Health reviews the EMF modeling and it can be proven there is an EMF reduction. Working with us includes discussing strategies if there is community opposition to the line based on EMF. Before the MOH comments, would want to see the EMF projections. Prudent Avoidance Policy #4 states that with new lines, MOH requires a health impact assessment, Dr. McKeown notes that a health assessment would not be necessary if there is an EMF reduction. Monica and Loren to follow up on details of calculated values. MOH will need to make its own independent assessment of the EMF modelling information and will hold back on commenting until it sees the number, once this occurs, MOH could do a number of things: have MOH involved in PICs as it has good reputation with residents in Toronto, be aware of issues and prepared to answer calls from residents regarding EMF and the Project, Hydro One could refer individuals with EMF concerns to MOH, provide a public comment on EMF. 		N/A
Monica Campbell - Toronto Public Health	Email	21 January 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned with EMF levels from a health perspective - chronic exposure and association with leukemia in young children Toronto's Prudent Avoidance Policy seeks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update of activities to date with the Toronto District School Board and Toronto District Catholic School Board. Met to outline Midtown Project and 	17 February 2009

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			to minimize the average annual increase in exposure to 2 mG or less.	<p>discuss potential EMF concerns. Provided EMF levels for the existing corridor that were shared with you at our January 16th meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Indicated that until a preferred route was selected along with cable technology we would not be sharing EMF predicted levels. They agreed to pass this information along to the respective schools and we have offered to brief the principals at their convenience Have added you to our mailing list, and hope that you can attend one of our PICs which are scheduled Feb 17, 18 and 24th. Will keep you updated about any EMF issues that may arise. 	
Mark Wilson - Office of Councillor Kyle Rae	Email	13 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary concern of the Summerhill Residents Association is the possibility of a routing north of the rail tracks that will impact numerous mature trees. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At this point in the study we have not developed a detailed plan because a preferred route has not yet been established, and therefore, we cannot comment specifically on where we might need to cut mature trees. However, Hydro One will work to minimize the number of trees that must be trimmed or removed, and will work with the local community, City of Toronto Urban Forestry Services and the conservation authority to replant or landscape the affected areas. 	13 February 2009
Mark Wilson - Office of Councillor Kyle Rae	Email	19 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Several representatives at last nights meeting seemed interested in pursuing alternative routes on the south side of the tracks providing CPR doesn't put a monkey wrench into the works and the City agrees. What exactly does that mean? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As part of the Class EA, we will consider alternative routing options to avoid Shaftsbury. We understand that trees are a concern for the Summerhill residents. Some of the routing options would involve CPR lands. 	19 February 2009

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The "monkey wrench" reference refers to CPR having the decision making power to allow Hydro One to put the cables on its lands. I was not there when the reference was made so I am only speculating. 	
Jennifer Kowalski - City of Toronto, Parks, Forestry and Recreation	Email	24 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There are parks, forestry and recreation facilities with the study area and are interested in providing input for the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As of now, the only alternative route identified is the "CPR route" along the CPR corridor. We are also considering other options of going on city roads and/or parkland. 	03 March 2009
Councillor John Parker	Email	25 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned this project will interfere with the potential to put a full access roadway along the corridor extending west from Redway Rd to the Bayview extension at some future date. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thank you for highlighting your interest regarding the Redway Rd extension Provided update from PIC at Timothy Eaton Memorial Church 	25 February 2009
Kimo Hamalainen - Technical Services, Joanna Kervin - Transport Planning, Alan Filipuzzi - Transport Planning, Kirk Allan - Technical Services	Meeting	04 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One presented the Project and indicated that generally, City officials are in support of the Project and the CPR route option, this option is subject to CPR approval. Joanna Kervin discussed their Don Mills LRT Project, they are evaluating three options, ending the LRT at Pape Station, Castlefrank Station, or Broadview Station. Resolution of this issue should include City, Hydro One, and Loblaws. Kirk Allan described watermain project to be completed by the City, possible conflict area was at the pumping station located at Carstowe Rd. Kimmo Hamalainen discussed re-construction of Pottery Rd from Bayview to Broadview – construction timeline for this Project may conflict with Midtown if Hydro One's preferred route travels along Bayview. There is also an EA for the Don Waterfront, at the forefront of the Project is the Task Force to Bring Back the Don River, may require coordination with Hydro One's project. The Coxwell Sewer Bypass project is not within the Study area. 		N/A
Kirk Allan - Technical Services	Email	05 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided drawing showing connection of proposed watermain to Rosehill Pumping Station. Some coordination may be required as the proposed project and Trunk Watermain project are within close proximity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One would like to set up a meeting with Technical and Transportation Services to further discuss your concerns and comments. Attached the shaft location maps for review. 	18 November 2009
Jennifer Kowalski - City of Toronto,	Email	19 May 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lands within the ROW may still be part of the Natural Heritage System and Ravine and Natural Features Protection Bylaw 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> EA coordinator spoke with Jennifer and informed her Hydro One will keep Toronto Parks, Forestry and Recreation 	N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
Parks, Forestry and Recreation			<p>Area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please consider the following points during selection of a preferred route. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Priority for us to select a route that will have minimal impact on the Natural Heritage System, and that you take actions to mitigation impacts to the features and functions of the natural heritage system. Please be aware that work may require approvals and/or consultations with TRCA, Forestry – Ravine Protection and Forestry – Tree Protection. Please note in Project files to contact Parks Supervisor to make him aware of Project schedule and trail closures and impacts to park users during construction. Please advise of other opportunities to participate. 	updated on all alternative routes.	
Councillor John Parker	Meeting	10 September 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Councillor Parker raised concerns about replacing existing overhead 2 circuit line with a 3 circuit line, presently the shorter towers are hidden from sight by the tree canopy. Hydro One agreed to have its landscaper arrange a mock-up of visuals to illustrate the impact on the site lines. Councillor Parker also questioned the reliability of the new poles and their vulnerability to inclement weather – Hydro One explained that the poles are designed to maintain their integrity during ice and wind storms and that lightening arresters are installed to mitigate potential outages due to lightening. Status on the July 6th meeting with Karin Murchall, President of Bennington Heights School Council was provided to Councillor Parker – reiterated the message of reducing EMFs. Hydro One and Toronto Hydro agreed to provide a PIC to Bennington Parents' Association and local community to address concerns about risks associated with EMFs. Hydro One and Toronto Hydro would secure maximum exposure for PIC by sending letters to every home in area and through Parents' Association. Toronto Hydro agreed to run a 'good will' program with the school to secure participation in conservation. Councillor Parker expressed that he would like to see improvement made to Leaside TS through the Project and that an additional PIC was not needed at Leaside Gardens if one is to be held at Bennington Heights School. 		N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
Councillor Michael Walker, Chris Sellors	Meeting	21 October 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Toronto Hydro and Hydro One briefed Councillor Walker and his executive assistant, Chris Sellors about the Project's preferred route. His ward is east of Yonge Street and includes both Birch Jct and Bridgman TS. He was advised that a tunnel would avoid many construction disruptions for residents At Birch Jct., the work would be done on Hydro One property and we might need to change the existing tower structures. From Birch x Bridgman we can use exiting tower line and will need to add a new conductor This may require tree trimming but Hydro One would work with the community to ensure new plantings. At Bridgman the work will also be done within the station. Councillor Walker asked if we would attend a public meeting hosted by him in the Spring 2010 to allow his constituents to ask questions about the Project. He would moderate the discussion; he feels this is much more effective than the open house format. 		N/A
Jennifer Kowalski - City of Toronto, Parks, Forestry and Recreation	Email	13 November 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> What are the impacts of the Preliminary Preferred Route on Moore Park Ravine, Crother's Woods and Vale of Avoca parks? Don't believe we are concerned about the proposed route; we need to understand the potential impacts during construction and how these impacts will be managed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No environmental effects are anticipated for Moore Park Ravine and Vale of Avoca Park. Hydro One will work with your department in the development of a replacement plan for lost trees along the section from Leaside TS to Bayview Jct. Met with the TRCA on November 24, 2009 to present the proposed undertaking, they did not have any concerns. 	08 December 2009
Henry Byres - Economic Development	Email	13 November 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The project route runs through the Rosedale Main Street Business Improvement Area (BIA). The BIA will be interested in the timing of the project and whether it will result in any road closures, disruptions to traffic, or temporary road or sidewalk construction or removals. If you are aware of such details at this time, please advise me a.s.a.p. and I will pass this information to the BIA. If necessary, I 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One sent the detailed project maps to BIA and invited BIA staff to the meeting with the City held on Nov. 26, 2009 via email. Hydro One set up a separate meeting with BIA Board in Jan 12, 2010 to discuss BIA's concerns. Because Birch Jct plays an important role in the delivery of electricity to home and business in the area, Hydro One does not have plans to eliminate Birch 	26 November 2009

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>can also arrange for you to meet with the BIA board of management at its next meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Suggested interest in eliminating Birch Jct. Concerns with heights of new proposed structures required at Birch Jct and the aesthetic impacts to the surrounding area. 	<p>Jct.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One is proposing to alter our original plans for the tower heights and to install lower profile towers to aid with the aesthetic concerns of the BIA. 	
Kirk Allan - Technical Services, Penelope Palmer - Infrastructure Planning	Email	5 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided drawing showing connection of proposed watermain to Rosehill Pumping Station. Some coordination may be required as the proposed project and Trunk Watermain project are within close proximity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will follow up once the preferred route has been established. 	5 March 2009
Kirk Allan - Technical Services, Penelope Palmer - Infrastructure Planning	Email & Phone	18 November 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction schedules at the Rosehill Pumping Station may conflict. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One would like to set up a meeting with Technical and Transportation Services to further discuss your concerns and comments. Sent a detailed project map for review. 	23 November 2009
Kirk Allan - Technical Services, Penelope Palmer - Infrastructure Planning	Email	26 November 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided a plan showing future alignment of a trunk watermain that will be connected to the Rosehill Pumping station from the south. The Hydro One plant shall be designed such that it will not adversely impact this alignment. Any Hydro One alignments along the north side of the CPR tracks should note the existing and planned utilities on the Rosehill Pumping Station, 240 Mt. Pleasant Ave. site. We will have a future (2016) watermain coming into the site from the south, so the Hydro One lines should not preclude a Mt. Pleasant watermain alignment and entry into our property. Also there are Toronto Hydro conductors in the area. There are 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>storm sewers in that area not shown on the drawing attached.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rogers also has an easement to service their equipment by the railway tracks. 		
Penelope Palmer - Infrastructure Planning, Roman Oleksij -Transportation Services, Kirk Allan - Technical Services	Meeting	01 December 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One's preferred route is Option #1. The City or Toronto Transportation Services are concerned with how traffic/transportation will be affected by the Midtown Project. Hydro One explained that from Bayview Jct to Birch Jct, there will be no impact to traffic/transportation because preferred construction method is deep rock tunnel. Impact to traffic/transport is likely associated with the proposed shaft locations. A suggestion was made by Hydro One to the City for their consideration and possible approval to have Carstowe Rd (during construction hours) converted into a two-way street to Mt. Pleasant Rd. Carstowe Rd is wide enough to accommodate this request. There are no residential buildings on this road. This suggestion would eliminate the alternative of having to drive construction trucks through residential streets. Hydro One will require traffic coordination with the City for the proposed shaft construction activities near Rosehill Pumping Station, as well as the Astley Ave or Glen Rd proposed shaft location. Access to Birch Jct may also cause traffic/transport delays for motorists on Yonge St, however all equipment would be stationed on Hydro One property and cable pulling will also be confined within Hydro One property. Hydro One will keep the City informed throughout the planning process. Prior to construction, Hydro One will provide affected residents with a notice of construction. There is also a project website that will be updated and a dedicated contact person on the project throughout construction. There might be some resistance encountered from Rosedale residents because the City will be closing the reversible Jarvis St. lane, and Hydro One construction activities and access required off Mt Pleasant Rd. may be viewed as an additional hindrance to slow down traffic in the area. Discussed City of Toronto projects and potential spatial and scheduling conflicts. 		N/A
Georgia Kuich - City of Toronto, Heritage Preservation Services	Email	15 January 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Since adjacency has not been clearly defined at the municipal level and the province has advised that Summerhill Station is, in fact, considered adjacent to Birch Jct., then Heritage Preservation Services (HPS) would accept the opinion given by the Ministry of Culture and would require a Heritage Impact Assessment. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One will forward details of the station layout at Birch Jct once they become available. 	02 February 2010

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of our major concerns would be the impact of the new development on the viewshed of Summerhill Station, in particular, the tower. The designation by-law does refer to the "landmark importance of its tower." 		
Monica Campbell, Ronald Macfarlane, Olanna White - Toronto Public Health	Meeting	01 February 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purpose of the meeting was to present the preferred route and findings of EMF modeling. Would the EMF levels be on the actual corridor, as opposed to adjacent property owners? Hydro One described the low EMF design that would be used for the Project. Hydro One indicated that the actual edge of the corridors are not well defined; however, in the section between Leaside TS and Bayview Jct., the line parallels the CPR rail corridor and hence the most relevant EMF levels are at the private property line. Will the towers or cables cross through green space? Hydro One indicated that although the line does go through some natural areas, these are not zoned as parks or other formal recreation designations. Hydro One met with City of Toronto Urban Forestry and other City of Toronto departments and agencies a number of times and no recreational areas have been confirmed in this section of the route along the CPR corridor. Is Bennington Heights Elementary School the closest dwelling to infrastructure, or just the closest school? Will all the schools in the area have similar modelling? Hydro One indicated that Bennington Heights was the closest school and there was a home that was the closest dwelling. Could a short report be prepared for the Medical Officer of Health, which summarizes the project, EMF levels, and Hydro One's commitment to ensuring that EMF levels do not increase? Indicated that if EMF levels are documented in the EA, it may be sufficient information in terms of the City's Prudent Avoidance Policy. Hydro One Indicated that by transposing the phases or changing the order in which the conductors are strung on the tower, the magnetic fields from one circuit would cancel the magnetic field from the adjacent circuit. EMF modeling results were presented for each second of the line. Diagram showed the approximate location for the nearest building to the transmission line and schools. In all cases, EMF levels would be decreasing following the installation of the new transmission facilities. Hydro One has consulted with Toronto Public and Catholic School Boards, and Bennington Heights Parent Council. One of the PICs was held at Bennington Heights 		N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>Elementary School to ensure parents and staff had the opportunity to have any EMF issues addressed. A few parents attended the PIC and appeared satisfied with the information.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One indicated it was their understanding that the Prudence Avoidance Policy only required a Health Impact Assessment if a new line is proposed where EMF levels would increase, or a line upgrade occurs where future EMF levels would be higher than existing levels, neither is the case for the Project. Hydro One offered to provide Meeting Minutes and the PowerPoint presentation as a summary of the discussion of the issue which could then be provided to the Medical Officer of Health. 		
Councillors Ootes, Parker, Rae and Walker	Email	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Providing update regarding status of Project and next steps. Last December, Hydro One held its second set of PICs to present the preferred route for the Midtown Project. The input received was generally very supportive of our preferred route. There were a few issues identified, and we are continuing to work with residents and stakeholders to try and resolve and mitigate these matters. The Project requires two main approvals, the Ontario Energy Board "Leave to Construct" – Section 92 and Class Environmental Assessment Approvals. On December 23, 2009, Hydro One applied to the OEB for Leave to Construct approval. Provided link to Notice of Application and Hearing which provides information to affected and interested parties regarding the OEB process and how to participate. With respect to the Class EA, Hydro One plans to issue the Environmental Study Report later in February for a 30 day review period. We will be advertising in the community 	04 February 2010

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
				newspapers and notifying those on our project mailing list that the ESR is available for review and comment.	
Pierre Doust, Executive Assistant to Councillor Michael Walker	Email	N/A	N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forwarded email sent to Councillor Walker 04 Feb 2010 and the newspaper ad that appeared in the Toronto Star on Feb. 9th (it is also referenced in the note below). Provided summary of today's discussion, Councillor Walker will be convening community open house/meeting later in spring to review plans for Birch Jct and replacement of transmission wires on same towers between Birch Jct. and Bridgman TS. Hydro One will also be establishing a working committee to address site design and landscaping issues at Birch Jct. and you will represent Councillor Walker on this committee. It will work similarly to the one that was established for the landscape plan for the transmission line in the Cottingham, MacPherson, and Rathnally neighbourhoods several years ago. 	16 February 2010
Monica Campbell - Toronto Public Health	Email	19 February 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting Minutes look fine to us. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provided copy of 01 February 2010 Meeting Minutes and EMF Presentation. Our plan is to have the draft ESR for the Midtown Project available for a 30 day public review period in early March. The ESR will contain a section that discusses EMF. 	17 February 2010
Toronto and Region Conservation Authority					
Renée Afoom	Letter	25 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please be advised that the Authority adopted a new fee schedule on January 4, 2009, the fee for reviewing this Schedule B project is \$5,000. Have initiated a preliminary review of the project and have 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specific proponents, including Hydro One, are explicitly exempted from review fees and the TRCA regulatory approval process, please confirm. 	26 February 2009

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<p>identified concerns with respect to the natural environment that will need to be addressed through the EA process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have digital data that should be used in the selection of the preferred alternative. • Upon receipt of the EA review fee, staff will complete its preliminary review and respond to your Notice of Commencement. 		
Renée Afoom, Leslie Piercey, Tudor Botzan, Vicky Liu and Andrew Taylor	Meeting	28 July 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land owned by the TRCA within the project study area are Brickworks and Crothers' Woods (former landfill site and snow dump site) has butternut trees. • TRCA is not favourable of the alternative Greenfield routes that cross the Brickworks or to the north of the quarry face, as well as routes that parallel Mud Creek due to major erosion potential. If one of these routes is selected to be the preferred route, H1 will work with TRCA to develop the appropriate mitigation measures. • The width of the clearing for excavation purposes and construction of the underground cable is to be approximately 10 m and the actual trench width will be 1.5 m. However, the areas are to be restored and naturalized after construction. • TRCA's issues and concerns are Safety during construction (i.e., safe access to sites), Creeks – perpendicular crossing is preferred over paralleling the creek and removal of trees, despite restoration and naturalization after construction. • The start of construction is 2012, pending completion of Class EA process and Ontario Energy Board approval. • TRCA prefers "road allowance" routes over "parkland" routes. • One of the mitigation measures may be the implementation of a Biodiversity Initiative. This will depend on the preferred route to be selected. • The Task Force to Bring Back the Don (TFBBD) is on the stakeholder consultation list, a meeting was held to discuss the project scope and present the Study Area. Hydro One will keep TFBBD informed throughout the project. • Hydro One provided two hard copies of the Environmental Baseline Report for the Midtown Project for TRCA review. TRCA will review and provide comments re: data accuracy and data gaps. • Hydro One requested for a copy of the study conducted for the Brickworks for H1's reference. TRCA will provide the report. • An ecological land classification (ELC) and plant inventory on any additional alternative routes traversing vegetation communities will be conducted in order to gather data and to confirm data from TRCA. • TRCA suggested that H1 reduce the number of alternative routes to be presented at the next PIC in September/October. Hydro One Team will follow up and consider reduction. 		N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TRCA is "OK" with the crossing Mud Creek of the "CPR route" as the creek is buried, as well as the Yellow Creek crossing. Creek crossing is better than having the cable run parallel to any creeks. TRCA indicated that there should be no hydrogeological concerns regarding the proposed alternative routes. 		
Beth Williston, Leslie Piercey, George Leja, Kavita Sookrah	Meeting	24 November 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One presented the four alternative routes, preferred route and associated facilities, and rationale for route and construction method sections. Hydro One prefers Option 1, TRCA confirmed that Hydro One's preferred route bypasses all TRCA property. Tunnel Construction: effects on groundwater is undetermined at this stage, the project is still at the EA stage – a geotechnical survey will be undertaken to determine groundwater occurrence following EA and OEB approval and before construction starts. Main shaft near Carstowe Rd: TRCA is concerned with any effects of the main shaft on the Iroquois Shoreline, an environmentally sensitive area. Hydro One responded that this has been designated by the City from an earth science perspective, and that the effects would be minimized at the shaft location. Butternut trees: with regards to species at risk, there are no Butternut trees in the shaft work area and along the proposed tower access roads according to Hydro One's vegetation survey. TRCA mentioned there is a possibility a permit will be required for access road within the Leaside x Bayview section. TRCA mentioned that Hydro One should talk to the City regarding their sewage work near the access road to Tower #6. TRCA will provide point data for species of special conservation status based on their database and may additional ELC data for the Study. 		N/A
Margaret Pak	Letter	27 November 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Received notice of upcoming PIC scheduled for December 1, 2009. While staff is unable to attend the meeting, please forward one copy of handouts or display materials from this meeting for our files. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please see attached PIC panels and newsletter. 	04 December 2009
Fax Back Forms					
Shannon McNeill – Ministry of the Environment	Fax Back Form	12 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Joanne Kervin – City of	Fax Back Form	12 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Would be happy to meet with you and discuss the scope of our proposed 	18 February,

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
Toronto, Transportation Planning			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of our light rail transit project alignments includes the Bayview extension and the railway corridor between Milwood and Bayview. Would like to set up a meeting to discuss scope of the work and potential route that may be affected. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> undertaking. Could you provide a few dates, and I will organize with the appropriate team members from our end. Our project team will be present at the PICs this evening (February 18) and next Tuesday's evening (February 24), it would be great if you can attend one of the sessions (see attached newspaper ad for details). 	2009
Alexandra Tchernychkova – Group Telecom	Fax Back Form	13 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not interested in providing input regarding this study but would like to be kept on Hydro One's mailing list for circulation purposes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Michael Chappell – Ministry of Labour	Fax Back Form	13 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not interested in providing input regarding this study but would like to be kept on Hydro One's mailing list. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
D. Crawford – Office of the Fire Marshall	Fax Back Form	13 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please take us off Hydro One's mailing list for this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed from mailing list. 	N/A
Kirk Allan – City of Toronto, Technical Services	Fax Back Form	16 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding this study. Proposed 1,650mm Watermain from Rosehill PS at 240 Mount Pleasant Rd to Mount Pleasant Rd and Roehampton Ave, to Roehampton Ave and Bayview Ave. This project may be impacted by your project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Jeannette Krabicka-Petty – City of Toronto, Economic Development	Fax Back Form	17 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding this study but would like to be kept on Hydro One's mailing list. Interested in being kept informed of any construction activities within Business Improvement Areas. Rosedale BIA is within the Study Area – please let me know if your construction will impact within their boundaries. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
Caroline Mellor – Toronto EMS	Fax Back Form	17 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding the study. Road closures, power reliability etc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Norma Maniols – Toronto Fire Service	Fax Back Form	18 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding the study. Access/location of transformers in conjunction with fire fighting/rescue. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	
Greg Strantsma – Greater Toronto Airport Authority	Fax Back Form	18 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please take us off Hydro One's mailing list for this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed from mailing list 	N/A
Cisca McInnis – Ministry of Energy	Fax Back Form	19 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not interested in providing input regarding this study but would like to be kept on Hydro One's mailing list. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Kimmo Hamalainen – City of Toronto, Technical Services	Fax Back Form	20 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding this study. City is reconstructing Pottery Road in 2010 under a complete road closure, will there be any conflicts? Major traffic issues will result to Pottery construction and access will be restricted Road reconstruction: from Bayview to Broadview, Summer 2010, duration, 10 weeks. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One responded to the comments during a meeting held on March 4, 2009. 	4 March 2009
Jennifer Kowalski – City of Toronto, Parks Forestry and Recreation	Fax Back Form	24 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding this study. There are parks, forestry and recreation facilities within the study area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One corresponded with Jennifer Kowalski during the planning process. 	N/A
Girma Tewolde – Toronto Hydro	Fax Back Form	24 February	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interested in providing input regarding this study, our overhead and underground plant infrastructure is our area of interest. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Kathi Froehlich – Enwave	Fax Back Form	24 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please take us off Hydro One's mailing list for this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed from mailing list. 	N/A
Freba Shahamand –	Fax Back Form	26 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not interested in providing input regarding this study but would like to be kept on Hydro 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
Ministry of Citizenship and Immigration			One's mailing list.		
Wilson Chu – Telus	Fax Back Form	26 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please take us off Hydro One's mailing list for this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed from mailing list. 	N/A
Leo Soares – Rogers Cable	Fax Back Forms	26 February 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not interested in providing input regarding this study but would like to be kept on Hydro One's mailing list. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Lance Cumberbatch/ Elizabeth Gibbens – City of Toronto, Municipal Licensing and Standards	Fax Back Forms	03 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We are interested in providing input regarding this study. Potential power disruptions that might affect tenants, pets, elevators, heat, hot water MLS responds to issues as described above for tenanted properties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In response to your concern regarding the disruption of power affecting tenants etc., Hydro One is expecting no power outages in any neighbourhoods during the construction of this project. 	03 March 2009
Bobbi Hunter – Rogers Cable	Fax Back Forms	09 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not interested in providing input regarding this study but would like to be kept on Hydro One's mailing list. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No response required 	N/A
Mario Veronesi – Ministry of Community Safety and Corrections	Fax Back Forms	13 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please take us off Hydro One's mailing list for this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed from mailing list. 	N/A
Janet Townson – INAC Specific Claims	Fax Back Forms	13 March 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please take us off Hydro One's mailing list for this study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Removed from mailing list. 	N/A
John Wilson - Task Force to Bring Back the Don	Fax Back Forms	21 April 2009	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Task Force to Bring Back the Don is a citizen advisory group appointed by Toronto City Council with a mandate to bring back a clean, green, accessible Don River Valley. Want to ensure that it does not impact the natural environment, primarily forests and watercourses within the Study Area. Interested in environmental mitigation measures and a longer term effort to 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hydro One corresponded with TFBBD during the planning process. Please see Section 4.6.3 for details. 	N/A

Contact Person	Type of Correspondence	Date	Issue or Concern	Response / Request	Date of Response
			rationalize the networks of utility corridors of various essential services. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Want to ensure that this undertaking is consistent with a long-term goal of net gain for the environment within the Study Area. 		