

## SUSTAINING CAPITAL

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Distribution Sustaining Capital represents investments required to ensure that existing distribution system facilities function as originally designed. Hydro One Distribution manages its distribution sustaining capital program by dividing the program into three program categories, namely: stations, lines and meters

Stations, lines and meter program categories include investments in equipment and related components required to deliver electricity through the distribution system, as well as investments that facilitate the efficient use of joint-use of assets. Investments covered under the Sustaining Capital program are proposed for the purpose of maintaining the long term and short term functionality of assets, to ensure public and employee safety, comply with regulations and contractual requirements and to provide a level of reliability that is aligned with corporate objectives.

Hydro One Distribution's Sustaining Capital programs and proposed spending levels for 2008 are described below.

### 2.0 DISCUSSION

Distribution stations, lines and meter assets, and their components, are subject to deterioration that will eventually impede their ability to function as originally designed. Asset deterioration depends on factors such as geographic environment/location, utilization, age, weather and maintenance practices. As assets deteriorate, equipment performance reliability usually suffers, resulting in increased environmental risks, an increase in potential safety hazards to the public and employees, and decreased system

1 reliability. Ultimately, assets deteriorate to the point that they are no longer able to  
2 perform their function(s) in a cost-effective manner, at which point replacement becomes  
3 necessary rather than continue to repair or maintain.

4  
5 Sustaining Capital programs fund both planned work and demand (unplanned) work.  
6 Planned work is required to preserve functionality of the existing distribution system by  
7 replacing deteriorated components with new components that are designed to perform an  
8 equivalent function. The identification of specific facilities for possible replacement is  
9 based on data collected during the Asset Condition Assessment (ACA) process described  
10 in Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 1. The condition of assets is one consideration in  
11 determining replacement. Other factors include historic performance, asset criticality,  
12 availability of spare equipment, load growth, and local customer impacts as well as the  
13 business drivers that form part of the work program prioritization process described in  
14 Exhibit A, Tab 14, Schedule 5. The prioritization process allows all distribution  
15 programs to be ranked and compared to one another so that investments can be directed  
16 to where they provide the maximum business value.

17  
18 Demand capital work involves asset replacement that is required during service  
19 interruptions and in response to contractual and other commitments with road authorities  
20 and joint use partners, i.e., cable and telecommunication companies. The varying nature  
21 of this work requires Hydro One Distribution to forecast costs based on historical  
22 averages with adjustments made to reflect recent changes in expenditure patterns or work  
23 requirements.

24  
25 Demand work requires an immediate or timely response to customer needs and is  
26 initiated by interruptions to service, line and station inspection findings, and by request  
27 from customer and property owners. Hydro One Distribution maintains infrastructure,  
28 equipment and resources to respond to these issues within the time lines specified by the

1 Distribution System Code. Planned work on the other hand, does not generally pose the  
 2 same degree of urgency and is scheduled over time, based on knowledge of the condition  
 3 of the assets.

4

5 The Sustaining Capital spending for 2008 and prior years is provided in Table 1 below.

6

7

**Table 1**  
**Sustaining Capital**  
**(\$ Millions)**

8

9

10

Description	Historic Cost			Bridge	Test
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Stations	11.0	9.1	8.5	7.7	10.3
Lines	92.7	106.8	162.1	138.8	139.5
Meters	1.2	1.4	15.7	77.1	167.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>104.9</b>	<b>117.3</b>	<b>186.3</b>	<b>223.6</b>	<b>317.1</b>

11

12 The increase in spending for 2008 relative to historic expenditures is attributed to the  
 13 following reasons:

14

- 15 • Implementation of smart meters, in accordance with government direction.
- 16 • Planned pole replacement during 2008 is greater than historic replacements based on  
 17 ACA finding.
- 18 • An increase to the 2008 planned line refurbishment and component replacement  
 19 levels to address end of life assets, and reliability and safety risks.
- 20 • Material and equipment increases in the order of 20% to 40%.
- 21 • An updated projection for storm response costs to reflect historical spending on this  
 22 activity which is critical to providing customers with reliable service.

1 Additional details concerning these increases and year over year variations in spending  
2 are provided below.

3

#### 4 **2.1 Stations**

5

6 Hydro One Distribution has 1,006 distributing and regulating station facilities, which are  
7 used for the delivery of power, voltage transformation and switching. Station facilities  
8 contain many of the following components: power transformers, instrument devices,  
9 reclosers, fuses, disconnect switches, bus, insulators, power cables, support structures,  
10 cable terminators, surge arrestors, station service supplies, grounding systems, fences,  
11 and buildings.

12

13 Hydro One Distribution's service to customers is also performed with a fleet of 28 mobile  
14 substations used primarily for emergency response to power disruption at stations. The  
15 mobile substations are also used during planned maintenance programs and capital  
16 refurbishment at distributing stations to reduce power interruptions. Investments for  
17 mobile substation are included in the Sustaining Capital program to ensure these mobile  
18 assets are available for the above purposes.

19

20 Stations Sustaining Capital funding covers capital investments required to replace or  
21 upgrade assets located within distributing and regulating stations, and mobile substations.  
22 The work is divided among three programs. Funding for 2008, along with the spending  
23 levels for the bridge and historic years are provided in Table 2 below.

**Table 2**  
**Stations Sustaining Capital**  
**(\$ Millions)**

Description	Historic Cost			Bridge	Test
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Strategic Spare Transformers	4.8	1.7	2.8	0.6	3.5
Mobile Substation Refurbishment	1.1	0.4	1.0	0.3	1.5
Station Projects & Demand	5.1	7.0	4.7	6.8	5.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>11.0</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>10.3</b>

2.1.1 Management of Transformer Assets

Power transformers are devices used to reduce the voltage of the electricity being distributed, and to provide voltage control. Distribution power transformers convert a high level voltage (typically 115kV, 44kV, or 27.6kV) to a lower distribution voltage (typically 27.6, 25, 13.8, 12.47, 8.32 and 4.16 kV).

The management of transformer assets is a key component of the Stations Capital Sustaining program. The reliability of supply provided by power transformers is managed through proactive maintenance activities and a coordinated use of strategic spare transformers and mobile substations. These programs are interdependent and have proven to be a cost-effective approach for managing transformers for a largely rural utility such as Hydro One Distribution. This approach reduces capital expenditures for distribution facilities as discussed below while still providing reliable delivery of electricity to customers.

Hydro One Distribution's system is largely a radial system characterized by little or no load transfer capability, and by design the majority of distributing stations are equipped with only one power transformer. The consequence of this system design is that a

1 transformer failure at a distributing station results in a service interruption to all  
2 customers supplied from that station. Since service to customers cannot be restored until  
3 the function of the distributing station is restored, in many instances mobile substations  
4 are dispatched to the affected station to provide service restoration. The mobile  
5 substation remains in place until such time as a spare transformer can be brought in to  
6 replace the failed unit. The extent to which spare transformers are available will influence  
7 the reliance on mobile stations for extended periods. Alternatives to this management  
8 process include having a spare a transformer at every distributing station or building  
9 added supply lines to provide a redundant supply. These alternatives have been assessed  
10 to be cost prohibitive on a system wide basis.

11  
12 Mobile substations also facilitate maintenance at distributing stations by carrying the  
13 station load while the station is isolated for planned maintenance work. The extent to  
14 which mobile substations are in-service for an extended period of time, due to  
15 unavailability of spare transformers, will limit the ability to complete the required  
16 planned maintenance and capital work at distributing stations.

17  
18 Details of the programs used to manage strategic spare transformers and mobile  
19 substations are provided below.

20

21 2.1.1.1 Strategic Spare Transformers

22

23 Hydro One Distribution has 1,337 station transformers and 140 regulators in service.  
24 Hydro One's distribution stations have experience an average of 32 major station failures  
25 a year over the last 4 years. In a number of instances, station failures require removing  
26 the transformer off site and subsequent replacement from the strategic spare transformer  
27 inventory. The strategic spare inventory is maintained by purchasing new transformers if  
28 required and by refurbishing existing, unserviceable units, i.e. transformers that failed or

1 were required to be removed from service based on poor condition as determined through  
2 the ACA process.

3  
4 The majority of distribution transformers that fail, or that are found to be unserviceable  
5 based on ACA results, can be refurbished economically. Repair costs can vary  
6 significantly, from \$15,000 to \$150,000 per transformer, depending on the nature of the  
7 failure and whether the damage results from external or internal faults. Before a  
8 transformer is refurbished, Hydro One Distribution first determines whether the  
9 transformer is needed as a spare, and estimates the refurbishment costs by dismantling the  
10 transformer and assessing the extent of damage. If refurbishing the transformer versus  
11 buying a new transformer is economically justified and is technically acceptable, the  
12 existing transformer is refurbished and added to the pool of strategic spare transformers.

13  
14 Due to the importance of these system elements to customer reliability, Hydro One  
15 Distribution maintains a spares inventory of transformers and regulators that is based on  
16 the number and type of transformers and regulators in-service, reliability of equipment in  
17 use and the availability mobile substations. Historically, each year two to six station  
18 transformers cannot be returned to the spares inventory. In these cases, the complement  
19 of spare transformers is reduced unless replacement transformers are purchased, or  
20 transformers become available through system reinforcement projects, i.e. transformer  
21 replaced in response to an increase in customer load is freed-up for another use. This  
22 program funds the purchase of transformers to maintain a spares compliment that meets  
23 system needs and ensures reliability.

24  
25 Funding of this program enhances customer reliability by reducing the reliance placed on  
26 mobile substations for extended periods, making them available to respond to  
27 emergencies and to assist in carrying out the planned maintenance on distributing  
28 stations, thereby ensuring equipment performance.

1 The 2008 spending requirement for this program is \$3.5 million. Historically  
2 expenditures have fluctuated from year to year based on the number of failed  
3 transformers that are beyond repair and replaced by transformers from the spares pool.  
4 Those transformers removed from the spares pool that become permanent field  
5 installations need to be replaced in the spares inventory to maintain adequate spares  
6 coverage. In addition, system failures are monitored and if there is an appreciable  
7 increase in the failure rate of a specific class of transformer, there may be a need to  
8 increase the number of spares within the subject group to manage reliability to acceptable  
9 levels.

10

11 The 2008 spending involves the purchase of 3 new spare transformers and 1 regulator to  
12 increase the compliment of spares to the required levels.

13

14 Funding reductions in this program would result in an increased utilization of mobile  
15 substations at failed transformer locations thereby negatively impacting planned  
16 maintenance and jeopardizing reliability at a number of distribution stations.

17

18 For additional details refer to the Investment Justification Document (IJD) in Exhibit D2,  
19 Tab 2, Schedule 3.

20

21 2.1.1.2 Mobile Substation Refurbishment

22

23 A mobile substation is essentially a distribution station mounted on a trailer suitable for  
24 traveling on public roads. These mobile units consist of a transformer, high voltage and  
25 low voltage switches, high voltage and low voltage fuses, and connecting bus. There are  
26 28 of these units strategically located across the Province. The primary purpose of mobile  
27 substations is to provide emergency backup to distributing stations and restore service to  
28 customers following the failure of a station, but they also facilitate planned maintenance

1 programs at distributing station assets by mitigating power disruption to customers.  
2 Given Hydro One Distribution's largely radial distribution system with single transformer  
3 distributing stations, the utilization of mobile substations provides a cost effective  
4 alternative to constructing redundant transformation at stations.

5  
6 As mobile substations age, the undercarriage, wheels, axles, and suspension require  
7 replacement when routine maintenance cannot restore the integrity of the components.  
8 Funding of this program allows for the efficient refurbishment of mobile substations  
9 based on the results of a monthly condition assessment required to ensure they are  
10 roadworthy and comply with Ministry of Transportation licensing requirements.

11  
12 The 2008 spending requirement for this program is \$1.5 million, which allows for the  
13 refurbishment of two mobile substations. The 2008 spending is higher than the bridge  
14 year and the historic expenditures, due to a need to replace a failed mobile substations  
15 transformer which was not part of the funding required in past years.

16  
17 Inadequate funding would have an adverse impact on station emergency response and on  
18 planned station maintenance capability, and would jeopardize customer reliability.

19  
20 For additional details refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

21  
22 2.1.2 Stations Projects and Demand (Unplanned)

23  
24 Station Refurbishment Projects

25 The level of investment required to refurbish a station will vary as a function of the  
26 condition of the station. Some stations will require replacement of frost-heaved  
27 structures, power equipment components, and/or security fence replacements. In other  
28 cases, the work required may be more significant, such as transformer refurbishment or

1 the complete rebuild of a station on an existing or a new site. The latter may be the case  
2 particularly for the older wood pole and timber structure station styles.

3  
4 Station condition is determined using the ACA process as discussed in Exhibit D1, Tab 2,  
5 Schedule 1. About 8 to 15 stations are refurbished annually based on condition,  
6 utilization and environmental risks. The number of stations scheduled for refurbishment  
7 on an annual basis at this time is currently in the order of 1% of all Hydro One  
8 distributing stations. Considering the age of these assets, (i.e. 30 to 40 years) this is a  
9 relatively low number of annual refurbishments, largely attributable to Hydro One  
10 Distribution's comprehensive maintenance program and a proactive transformer spares  
11 management, as discussed in this Schedule and in Exhibit C1, Tab 2, Schedule 2.

12  
13 Funding levels of this program will impact the amount of breakdown maintenance in  
14 future years and negatively impact customer reliability. The 2008 spending for station  
15 refurbishment work is \$2.5 million. For additional details refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2,  
16 Tab 2, Schedule 3.

17  
18 The station refurbishment program also includes the costs associated with refurbishment  
19 of spill containment facilities identified through station inspections as requiring work for  
20 the purpose of environmental compliance and performance. These projects are managed  
21 separately from the larger refurbishment work. The 2008 spending for spill containment  
22 facilities is \$0.3 million.

23  
24 Component Replacement & Demand

25 Component replacement projects involve replacing such defective equipment as  
26 reclosers, surge arrestors, fences and switches that have been determined to be at end of  
27 life. The condition of equipment and station components is assessed during routine  
28 inspections, ACA and during planned and unplanned maintenance activities.

1 The demand work completed under this program covers the capital component of work  
2 required to address the failure of distributing and regulating station components and to  
3 correct situations that could cause a power interruption or present a safety hazard. When  
4 station components fail, the consequence is typically a service interruption to customers.  
5 Station interruptions can impact a large number of customers, typically from 1,000 to  
6 10,000 customers per interruption. Emergency and corrective work must be carried out in  
7 a timely manner in order to minimize the risks to customer reliability, and public and  
8 employee safety.

9  
10 This program covers the capital costs of emergency and corrective work at stations that  
11 involve plant retirement. Work that does not involve plant retirement is covered under the  
12 Sustaining OM&A, Exhibit C1, Tab 2, Schedule 2.

13  
14 In most cases, smaller components such as reclosers, insulators, connectors, switches, etc.  
15 will be repaired, temporarily bypassed, or replaced on site. The failure of a large  
16 component, such as a transformer, may require moving the equipment off site and  
17 repairing it at a central location and then returning it to that specific site. If a prolonged  
18 service interruption is anticipated, service is typically restored through the temporary use  
19 of a mobile substation or replacing the failed unit with a spare transformer.

20  
21 The 2008 spending for both component replacement and demand work is \$2.6 million.  
22 For additional details refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

23  
24 Summary

25  
26 The 2008 spending requirement for all Stations Projects and Demand work totals \$5.3  
27 million. The proposed funding is within the range of historic expenditures. Spending  
28 from year to year can vary as the spending is based on a number of factors, e.g., number

1 and type of stations to be refurbished, number of failures, condition of assets, asset  
2 performance, criticality of assets, availability of spare equipment and local reliability  
3 impacts.

4  
5 Reductions in this program will result in defective equipment and station components  
6 remaining in service for longer periods of time, thereby increasing the risk of failure and  
7 adversely affecting customer supply reliability. Reduced funding would also increase  
8 environmental risks associated with oil entering the environment as a result of an  
9 increased likelihood of transformer failures.

## 10 11 **2.2 Lines**

12  
13 Distribution lines total 119,900 circuit-km province-wide and are used to deliver power  
14 to Hydro One Distribution customers. Lines are constructed on road allowances where  
15 possible, or on rights-of-way for which Hydro One Distribution has legal rights to access  
16 and occupy. Line components include poles, conductor, transformers, switches, fuses,  
17 surge arresters, voltage regulators, capacitors, insulators, reclosers and grounding  
18 devices. A small proportion of distribution line inventory is located underground in some  
19 of the more urban locations or underwater (submarine) for servicing cottages and  
20 residences on islands. The underground and submarine inventory represents  
21 approximately 5 % of the total circuit-km.

22  
23 Sustaining Capital funding for lines includes capital investments required to maintain  
24 existing assets associated with overhead, underground, and submarine distribution lines.  
25 The work is divided among three programs as noted in Table 3. Funding for 2008 and  
26 spending for the bridge and historic years are provided in Table 3 below.

**Table 3**  
**Lines Sustaining Capital**  
**(\$ Millions)**

Description	Historic Cost			Bridge	Test
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Trouble Call & Storm Damage (d)	38.3	51.7	90.6	51.7	53.4
Joint Use & Relocations (d)	19.2	22.0	24.0	27.1	23.7
Asset Replacements	35.2	33.2	47.5	60.0	62.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>92.7</b>	<b>106.8</b>	<b>162.1</b>	<b>138.8</b>	<b>139.5</b>

(d) – indicates this is a demand program

2.2.1 Trouble Call and Storm Damage Response

This demand program provides capital investment for responding to problems on distribution lines that require immediate attention as a result of trouble calls or storm damage. During 2006, an unusually high number of storms passed through Ontario that caused extensive damage to the distribution system.

A trouble call typically captures the work required to restore the supply of power to customers following an unplanned interruption. However, a trouble call may also be required in response to a customer complaint (e.g. about power quality) or to correct a defect on a distribution asset that, if not addressed, could present a safety concern or potentially result in an interruption of power to customers. Hydro One Distribution must address trouble calls in order to comply with legal and regulatory requirements, to correct known hazardous problems and to maintain reliable electric service in accordance with good utility practice.

The majority of costs associated with trouble calls are incurred in the Sustaining OM&A, Exhibit C1, Tab 2, Schedule 2. In cases where capital plant is replaced as part of a trouble call, all labour and material costs are capitalized under this program. Where a

1 trouble call is as a result of damage to the distribution system caused by a third party (e.g.  
2 motor vehicle accident), Hydro One Distribution will endeavour to recover the cost of  
3 making the repairs. Any costs recovered are credited to this program. Historically,  
4 damage by third party interference has totaled about \$4 million per year with recovery of  
5 approximately \$2.5 million.

6  
7 Hydro One Distribution also capitalizes storm restoration costs where a storm results in  
8 the replacement of capital plant units and the distribution system experiences significant  
9 damage. Storms normally interrupt the supply of power to many thousands of customers.  
10 The impact storms have on Hydro One Distribution's system during any given year will  
11 depend on the number, type (e.g. wind, snow, ice) and severity of the storms. Historically  
12 the number of storms varies widely and the number of days affected by storms has ranged  
13 from 20 to over 50 days annually. There is also variation in the number of "force  
14 majeure" storms impacting the distribution system, with force majeure defined as a major  
15 storm affecting more than 10% of Hydro One Distribution's customers. During 2004,  
16 Hydro One Distribution experienced one force majeure storm whereas during 2006 there  
17 were eight - an usually high number that caused extensive damage to the distribution  
18 system. Given the variability in the number, type and severity of storms, storm-related  
19 damage can change significantly from one year to the next

20  
21 The extent of storm-related damage is also affected by work in other sustainment  
22 programs. Reducing vegetation management will increase the likelihood of trees and  
23 branches contacting a line under storm conditions as vegetation growth encroaches on the  
24 right-of-way and damaged or diseased trees remain near line facilities for longer periods  
25 of time. As well, if assets in need of repair or replacement are not addressed, there is an  
26 increased likelihood that assets such as poles may fail under adverse weather conditions.  
27 All work associated with storm restoration, with the exception of overtime costs and the  
28 costs to clear vegetation (e.g. trees, brush) from the storm-impacted distribution lines, are

1 captured under this program. Overtime and forestry costs related to storm restoration are  
2 not capitalized, because these activities do not restore the capital assets to a better  
3 condition than existed before the storm, and provides no added benefit to future  
4 customers.

5  
6 The funding level requested is based on an assessment of historical trends in costs and  
7 volume of work, taking into account any known factors that could impact historic trends.

8  
9 The 2008 spending requirement for this program is \$53.4 million, net of \$2.5 million  
10 recovered for third party damage. The 2008 spending is close to that for the bridge year  
11 and 2005 actual. The 2008 spending is based on a 4-year average of historical spending  
12 with adjustments made to incorporate recent trending in volumes and cost.

13  
14 The 2008 spending is considerably lower than in 2006, as that was an unusually high year  
15 for storm damage. During 2006 the company experienced eight major storms, requiring  
16 an unprecedented 36 days of storm damage restoration. Associated with the 2006 storm  
17 damage were expenditures of \$62 million in capital (compared to a previous range of \$14  
18 million to \$26 million) and \$21 million in forestry and lines maintenance (compared to a  
19 previous range of \$1 and \$8 million). The majority of damage during these storms was  
20 attributed to falling trees and branches in close proximity to lines. These storms  
21 contributed 57% of SAIDI, and it is expected that the customer outage durations would  
22 have been significantly less under the vegetation management program planned for 2008.

23  
24 Hydro One Distribution's ability to quickly respond to the significant and repeated storm  
25 events in the summer and fall of 2006 resulted in the company winning the prestigious  
26 Edison Electric Institute's "Emergency Recovery Award" for outstanding efforts to  
27 restore electric service to its customers following such severe storms.

1 For additional details refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

2  
3 2.2.2 Joint Use and Line Relocations

4  
5 Joint Use

6 The joint-use component of this program covers the work required to modify existing  
7 Hydro One distribution line assets to accommodate telecommunication or cable television  
8 lines, street lighting owned by municipalities, or power circuits for various Local  
9 Distribution Companies (LDCs).

10  
11 Hydro One Distribution carries out joint-use projects in accordance with long-standing  
12 agreements between Hydro One Distribution and joint-use partners. The cost sharing  
13 provisions in these agreements allow Hydro One Distribution to recover its costs  
14 resulting from requests to add new attachments to poles. Historically, 25% to 35% of a  
15 joint-use project costs are recoverable. The recoverable portion represents the residual  
16 value of the line assets at the time the joint-use project is initiated plus the incremental  
17 cost for any modifications required for the new joint-use facilities. The unrecoverable  
18 portion of the costs recognizes that these projects generally result in increased life of the  
19 facilities that benefit Hydro One Distribution customers, due to a reduction of future  
20 investment needs.

21  
22 All recoverable joint-use costs are paid by joint use partners at the time of the attachment.  
23 In addition, annual fees not included in this program are levied per attachment to  
24 compensate for on-going incremental maintenance costs due to the presence of these  
25 attachments on the pole. Revenues associated with these annual fees are discussed in  
26 Exhibit E3, Tab 1, Schedule 1.

1 The joint-use program is driven by external demand for work, which Hydro One  
2 Distribution is required to provide in accordance with existing agreements. The number  
3 of joint-use projects has historically ranged from 80 to over 200 projects per year. The  
4 variation is due in large measure to communication companies providing or enhancing  
5 service to their customers.

6

7 Line Relocations

8

9 The line relocation component of this program covers the work required in response to  
10 road modifications initiated by Provincial and municipal road authorities, or by  
11 individuals who require assets relocated for the purpose of developing their property.  
12 Hydro One Distribution is obligated to relocate plant at customers' request in accordance  
13 with the requirements specified in its Conditions of Service. The relocation of plant to  
14 accommodate road modifications must be done in a timely manner as per the  
15 requirements of the Public Service Works on Highways Act, R.S.O. 1990, and associated  
16 Ministry of Transportation guidelines. Relocations may entail the construction of new  
17 plant and the removal of old plant.

18

19 The cost of relocation projects is either fully or partially recoverable, depending on the  
20 specific circumstances of the project. Typically, a customer requesting a plant relocation  
21 must pay Hydro One Distribution for all costs incurred in moving the plant. In the case of  
22 projects associated with road relocations, the applicable statute defines the recoverable  
23 portion of the relocation work, which is typically 20% to 35% of the total cost. The  
24 unrecoverable portion of relocation costs represents the benefit to Hydro One  
25 Distribution, and its customers, of having new assets in place that reduce future  
26 investment needs.

1 The number of relocation projects can vary significantly from year-to-year depending on  
2 the number of government infrastructure improvement projects and economic conditions  
3 influencing individual third party development projects.

4  
5 Summary

6 Since the number and scope of joint-use and line relocation projects is variable, the  
7 funding level requested for 2008 is based on historic costs, taking into account any  
8 observed trending and currently identified joint-use or relocation work.

9  
10 The 2008 spending requirement for this program is \$23.7 million, which is net of \$9.0  
11 million in recoverable costs. The 2008 spending maintains the spending during 2006  
12 and is 12% below the bridge year expenditures.

13  
14 For additional details refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

15  
16 2.2.3 Asset Replacement

17  
18 Distribution lines asset replacement programs involve replacement of line components  
19 and line sections determined to be at end of life, and line modifications to address safety  
20 and reliability issues. These projects and programs are closely coordinated and integrated  
21 with System Capability Reinforcement plans (Exhibit D1, Tab 3, Schedule 3), where  
22 appropriate, in order to maximize the benefits of these expenditures.

23  
24 The asset replacement work is divided into three programs with funding for 2008, and  
25 spending levels for the bridge and historic years, as provided in Table 4 below.

**Table 4**  
**Asset Replacement**  
**(\$ Million)**

Description	Historic Cost			Bridge	Test
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
Wood Structure Replacement	19.1	18.5	30.3	40.1	39.8
Waste Management Capital*	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	1.2
Line Projects	15.6	14.5	17.0	19.8	21.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>33.2</b>	<b>47.5</b>	<b>60.0</b>	<b>62.4</b>

\* The 2004, 2005 and 2006 costs were for PCB transformer replacements.

2.2.3.1 Wood Structure Replacement

Wood poles deteriorate over time. When the condition has deteriorated to a point where there is a significant risk of failure under adverse weather conditions, poles are deemed to be at end of life and must be replaced to ensure reliability and safety. Planned replacement of poles is much less costly than "emergency" or reactive type replacements, is less disruptive to customers, and eliminates safety issues. Replacing defective poles on a reactive basis not only costs more than planned replacement, but also results in increased overtime with longer outage durations to customers and increased safety risks. There is a strong business need to replace substandard poles before they negatively impact the system.

Over 50% of the distribution system's 1.65 million poles have been assessed since 2002 and about 4% of those poles tested were found to be in a sub-standard condition. The number of sub-standard poles in the system is forecast to be near a historic high and will increase unless the deteriorated poles are replaced. Refer to the Asset Condition Assessment and Analysis, Exhibit D1, Tab2, Schedule 1 for further details concerning the forecast for pole replacements. The need for pole replacements in 2008 is identified

1 through the pole assessment and testing program carried out under the lines maintenance  
2 program as discussed in Exhibit C1, Tab 2, Schedule 2, section 3.2.2.1.

3  
4 The 2008 funding will permit replacement of 7,000 poles which is an increase from the  
5 5,200 poles replaced in 2006, and the 6,852 poles replaced in 2007. Candidates for  
6 replacement are determined through the pole assessment and testing program, which  
7 identifies poles that exhibit wood decay, checks and other defects that may jeopardize the  
8 structural integrity of a pole. The end of life determination for wood poles complies with  
9 the Canadian Standards Association (CSA) criteria for pole strength that specifies  
10 replacement when a pole has reached 65% of its original strength. This testing and  
11 replacement program maximizes reliability to customers, reduces public safety risks,  
12 complies with legal requirements and ensures optimal utilization of the wood pole  
13 population.

14  
15 The 2008 spending requirement for this program is \$39.8 million, which is an increase  
16 over the historic years. The increase is a result of the number of poles identified to be at  
17 end of life as a result of the pole assessment program. Historic expenditures prior to  
18 2006 were in the \$20 million per year range with an average accomplishment of 3,000  
19 poles replaced. This compares to the 2008 level of 7000 poles. Year over year historic  
20 variations are attributed to variations in the number of poles assessed, and the number  
21 remaining to be replaced under this program, taking into account the integration with  
22 other programs. For example, sub-standard poles may also be replaced as part of system  
23 capability reinforcement and sustaining projects.

24  
25 Reduced funding of the pole replacement program will increase reliability and safety  
26 risks and will prevent Hydro One Distribution from fully meeting due diligence  
27 obligations to remove known defective assets that present a hazard to workers and the  
28 public.

1 For additional details refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

2  
3 2.2.3.2 Waste Management Capital Program

4  
5 The 2008 Waste Management Capital program funds the replacement of waste storage  
6 tanks that have been determined to be at end-of-life. The 2008 spending for this  
7 program is \$1.2 million and is greater than the bridge year and historic years.  
8 Expenditures can vary significantly from one year to next depending on the particular  
9 need that must be addressed. Prior years' spending was to address PCB contaminated  
10 line transformers, as such the nature and need for the work was substantially different  
11 than that proposed for 2008, and a direct comparison of spending levels is not  
12 appropriate.

13  
14 For additional details refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

15  
16 2.2.3.3 Line Projects

17  
18 This program funds the refurbishment of entire feeders or sections of a feeder when the  
19 cost of maintaining individual components in the circuit becomes excessive, or a number  
20 of components have reached, or are near end-of-life, jeopardizing the reliability of the  
21 electrical supply. A decision as to the most appropriate course of action is made in each  
22 case taking into account overall condition of poles, wire and cables, condition of  
23 associated components, access for maintenance and repair, current and future load  
24 requirements and environmental considerations. These projects are further integrated  
25 with any system capacity reinforcement plans for the area.

26  
27 Additional projects funded under this program address significant safety hazards and  
28 environmental issues. Specific projects in this category involve the replacement of

1 submarine cable where the concentric neutral wires have corroded and present a hazard to  
2 the public, as well as line modifications to correct hazardous water crossings. The  
3 program also funds structural modifications required to accommodate osprey nesting sites  
4 where the location of the nest may cause power interruptions.

5  
6 In addition to the projects noted above, this program funds the replacement of individual  
7 line components such as switches, reclosers and wood arms that have been determined to  
8 be defective.

9  
10 The 2008 spending requirement for this program is \$21.4 million and is 8% greater than  
11 the bridge year. The 2008 spending is 26% greater than 2006 expenditures. These  
12 increases are attributed to increased volume of line refurbishment, based on findings from  
13 the ACA, and an increase in planned component replacement to manage reliability and  
14 safety.

15  
16 Reduced funding of this program would limit Hydro One Distribution's ability to  
17 economically replace integrated groupings of assets identified through ACA. The  
18 alternative of replacing individual defective assets when they fail in service would be on  
19 a reactive basis and at a premium cost. Reactive replacement would result in more  
20 frequent and longer duration outages affecting customer reliability and public and  
21 employee safety.

22 For details concerning projects valued at \$1 million or greater, refer to the IJDs in Exhibit  
23 D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

24  
25 To illustrate the benefits of sustaining projects, Table 5 below highlights the change in  
26 reliability for a number of projects carried out over the 2004 to 2005 period. All of these  
27 projects involved replacement of end-of-life components. As can be seen in Table 5  
28 below, the refurbishment projects resulted in appreciable improvements in the number of

1 feeder interruptions experienced per year and the customer hours of interruption  
 2 experienced per year.

3

4 **Table 5: Sample of Distribution Feeder Refurbishment Projects and Associated**  
 5 **Reliability Improvements**

6

<b>Number of Feeder Refurbishment Projects</b>	<b>Overall Average Improvement in Number of Interruptions per Year</b>	<b>Overall Average Improvement in Customer Hours of Interruption per Year</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>72%</b>

7

8

9 **2.3 Meters**

10

11 Meter capital addresses spending requirements for smart meter installations and customer  
 12 retail meters. Funding for the meters program for 2008 and spending in the bridge and  
 13 historic years, are provided in Table 6 below.

14

15

16

17

18

**Table 6**  
**Metering Capital**  
**(\$Million)**

<b>Description</b>	<b>Historic</b>			<b>Bridge</b>	<b>Test</b>
	<b>2004</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>
Smart Meters	-	-	14.1	76.7	164.8
Customer Retail Meters	1.2	1.4	1.6	0.4	2.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>15.7</b>	<b>77.1</b>	<b>167.3</b>

19

1 2.3.1 Smart Meters

2  
3 On June 23, 2004 the Minister of Energy issued a directive to the Ontario Energy Board  
4 that establishes targets for the installation of smart meters for all Ontario customers by  
5 2010. Hydro One Distribution's share, based on its proportionate share of customers in  
6 the province, is 240,000 by 2007 and approximately 1.2 million by 2010. The planned  
7 installation for 2008 is 370,000.

8  
9 Hydro One is accountable for owning and installing the smart meters, collecting customer  
10 metering data over a telecommunications network ("AMRC" and "WAN") to a computer  
11 application ("AMCC"), passing the data to the data warehouse of the IESO which has  
12 been appointed as the Data Company ("DataCo"), and receiving the data back for  
13 customer billing purposes.

14  
15 Hydro One's plan for the deployment of smart meters has been developed to ensure the  
16 highest long term customer value through a vision and a number of operating principles  
17 that will protect the investment from early obsolescence, while at the same time  
18 recognizing and balancing the inherent risks associated with new technology and large  
19 projects. Accordingly, Hydro One Distribution's smart metering plan is to deploy a  
20 solution that meets the Ministry of Energy's requirements at the lowest possible cost and  
21 is an enabler for other business processes and transformations. In pursuit of this plan, the  
22 Company has adopted the following operating principles:

- 23
- 24 • Develop and deploy an end-to-end architecture for both information technology and  
25 communications infrastructure that recognizes long term business needs and provides  
26 a migration path from the minimum requirements of a smart meter system;
  - 27 • All hardware and devices will conform to industry standards and will be based on  
28 open architecture design (i.e. to the extent possible use non-proprietary applications);

- 1 • Material development will not occur until all, including third party, requirements are  
2 understood; and,
- 3 • A staged implementation will be deployed across all work streams to mitigate risk.  
4 This includes Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") technology, business  
5 process design, systems development and integration and customer communications.  
6

7 With respect to its smart metering program, Hydro One Distribution has participated in or  
8 been affected by the following proceedings since late 2004:

9

- 10 • RP-2004-0203/ EB-2005-0198 – On March 17, 2005, the Board approved Hydro One  
11 Networks' plan for CDM, in which \$7.8 million was allocated to smart metering to  
12 address initial start-up costs and deployment.
- 13 • EB-2005-0529 – The Board's Decision on Generic Issues respecting Smart Meters,  
14 issued March 21, 2006, which approved a \$0.30 meter cost per residential customers  
15 per month, to be recovered through their monthly service charges, beginning May 1,  
16 2006.
- 17 • EB-2006-0246 – Hydro One Networks Inc.'s Smart Meter Plan - 2006-2010 was  
18 submitted on December 15, 2006, according to the Board's Smart Meter Filing  
19 Guidelines and Requirements, issued October 26, 2006.
- 20 • EB-2007-0542 – The Board's Decision on Hydro One Networks' 2007 Distribution  
21 Rates issued April 21, 2007, approved an amount of \$0.93 per month per metered  
22 customer, beginning May 1, 2007.
- 23 • EB-2007-0063 – Combined Proceeding on Smart Metering was initiated by the Board  
24 on May 18, 2007, to determine the prudence and recovery of costs associated with  
25 smart metering activities for 13 distributors, including Hydro One Networks Inc.,  
26 which under the Regulations, are licensed to conduct discretionary metering  
27 activities. The Board's Decision was released on August 8, 2007. The Board  
28 determined that the purchasing decisions of the thirteen utilities involved in this

1 proceeding were implemented with the necessary due diligence and the terms of the  
2 contracts are prudent. The Board also allowed the cost of installation using internal staff  
3 and agreed the cost of installation in rural areas will be higher. The Board agreed with the  
4 overall costs incurred to May 31, 2007 related to the minimum functionality of all  
5 installed meters other than the cost of capital associated with project management – in  
6 this areas the Board disallowed half of the requested amount and provided Hydro One the  
7 opportunity to justify this remaining amount in this hearing. The justification of this  
8 amount is provided in Exhibit F1, Tab 1, Schedule 1.

9

10 As a result of these decisions (particularly the latter two), Hydro One Distribution's smart  
11 meter plans, including its assessments of minimum functionality and the required  
12 architecture, procurement process, contracts with vendors, plans for smart meter  
13 deployment, risk assessment and mitigation plan, and associated costs, have already been  
14 provided to the Board and will not be repeated in detail here.

15

16 The smart meter program is proceeding well. During 2006, Hydro One Distribution was  
17 able to pilot its meter installation process (with 28,000 installations) and automation  
18 tools, and to develop unit costing for mass market deployment. Statements of work and  
19 contracts were developed with the selected major vendors, which enabled detailed pricing  
20 for the majority of the products and services required. Total meter deployment from  
21 2006 through 2007 is projected to meet the Company's target of 240,000 meter  
22 installations by the end of 2007. Other activities, such as installation of the network  
23 communications infrastructure are progressing. The "back-office" infrastructure and  
24 process design is underway and initial results from meter communications reliability  
25 testing are promising.

1 Hydro One Distribution's capital spending requirement of \$164.8 million in 2008 reflects  
2 the continuing deployment of smart meters throughout its service territory. The related  
3 activities encompass both minimum and incremental functionality work:

- 4
- 5 • Activities associated generally with the government's regulations concerning  
6 minimum functionality, which account for \$64.2 million and \$136.5 million in 2007  
7 and 2008 respectively, include the following work:

- 8 ○ Installing additional smart meters and advanced metering  
9 communications devices ("AMCDs");
- 10 ○ Building and expanding the advanced metering regional collector  
11 ("AMRC"), and underlying networks to accommodate an increasing  
12 number of meters coming on- stream; and
- 13 ○ Commissioning and placing into service, hardware and software for the  
14 advanced metering control computer ("AMCC") to enable it to  
15 communicate and transmit quality meter data to and from the meter data  
16 management and meter data repository (MDM/R) and the Company's  
17 CIS.

- 18 • Incremental functionality activities associated with effective use of the smart meters  
19 to provide time-differentiated billing to customers and provide Hydro One the ability  
20 to leverage its AMI system for other business benefits, which account for \$12.5  
21 million and \$28.3 million in 2007 and 2008 respectively, include the following work:

- 22 ○ Upgrades to our CIS system to provide for Time of Use billing and  
23 related required settlement changes. This aspect of the Smart Meter  
24 program is rooted in the government's desire and directive to create a  
25 conservation culture of which time of use rates are an integral part;
- 26 ○ Integration of the end to end systems including business process redesign,  
27 This integration ties the AMI systems implemented under minimum  
28 functionality with the IESO's MDMR and Hydro One's CIS system to

- 1 allow the collection of time differentiated consumption data required for  
2 TOU billing; and,
- 3 ○ The added cost of super capacitors in meters and batteries in the regional  
4 collectors (AMRC) that provide for real time outage reporting after and  
5 during loss of power. Having the ability to pin point outages and tie this  
6 information to our outage management system has the potential to  
7 increase customer service and reduce costs. When outages occur,  
8 especially during a major storm, there are instances where faults and  
9 damage are “nested”. In these situations, without the knowledge of the  
10 state of individual services, it takes longer to locate outages and it is  
11 possible for crews to fix a problem and leave the area only to return to fix  
12 other problems downstream. This is inefficient and extends outage times.  
13 Since Hydro One is changing all its meters by 2010, this provides a good  
14 opportunity to deploy this functionality effectively.
- 15
- 16 ● Project management activities associated with the overall smart meter program,  
17 which account for \$2.4 million and \$0.1 million in 2007 and 2008 respectively, are  
18 included in the costs above.
- 19 ○ Due to the scope, complexity and specialized nature of the above tasks,  
20 the project management services and operation of a project management  
21 office (PMO) is provided by Capgemini. Capgemini was selected as the  
22 system integrator for Hydro One’s smart meter program through a  
23 competitive RFP process in 2005. Although Hydro One is providing  
24 overall direction to Capgemini, the project management services and  
25 PMO are typically provided by the system integrator and is not a role that  
26 Hydro One is able to resource internally. Additional details on  
27 Capgemini’s project management function are also provided as part of the

1 discussion in Exhibit F, Tab 1, Schedule 1 on smart meter Regulatory  
2 Asset accounts.

- 3 ○ The project management costs drop off in 2008 as the bulk of the PMO  
4 work Capgemini was engaged to do is planned to be largely complete by  
5 the end of 2007.
- 6 ○ The total project management costs incurred to the end of 2008 are  
7 forecast to be about \$5 per installed smart meter unit. This is a substantial  
8 drop from the unit cost of \$21.7 per installed smart meter unit reflected in  
9 the combined smart meter proceeding when the project management costs  
10 incurred to date were spread over the 62,194 units installed to the end of  
11 May, 2007.

12  
13 The 2008 expenditure level is an increase over that of 2007, reflecting the higher number  
14 of planned meter installations of 370,000 in 2008 compared to 212,000 in 2007. Further  
15 details on this program are provided in the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

16  
17 A reduction in the requested funding would compromise the Company's capability to  
18 deliver its planned results for 2008. These would include the installation of an additional  
19 370,000 meters, continued development of the communication network needed to support  
20 the installed meters, modifications to the core systems to support TOU billing and the  
21 required re-engineering of business processes. Loss of this capability would severely  
22 jeopardize Hydro One's capability to meet the ambitious targets which have been set by  
23 the government.

24  
25 2.3.2 Customer Retail Meters

26  
27 The sustaining capital retail meter program includes the installation of meters at shared  
28 distribution stations, customer initiated meter upgrades, meter conversions at the acquired

1 LDCs, and sustainment of the retail meter inventory. Each of these initiatives are  
2 discussed in detail below.

3

4 The largest initiative during 2008 is an expenditure of \$2.0 million related to the  
5 Company's installation of new meters at shared distribution stations. This expenditure is  
6 required to improve metering associated with Low Voltage facilities. For additional  
7 details, refer to the IJD in Exhibit D2, Tab 2, Schedule 3.

8

9 Meter upgrades are a customer-driven initiative. As required by the Distribution System  
10 Code, Hydro One Distribution upgrades an existing customer's demand meters to interval  
11 meters when their average annual monthly peak demand is equal to or greater than 1,000  
12 kW. Hydro One Distribution's policy for new customers requires installations of interval  
13 meters if their average annual monthly peak demand is forecast to be greater than or  
14 equal to 200 kW. As well, Hydro One Distribution is planning to upgrade non-standard  
15 meters at acquired LDCs. In total, the spending for these initiatives is \$0.4 million.

16

17 The Retail Meter Inventory Sustainment Program is required in order to efficiently  
18 replace in-service meters that fail, are obsolete, or that cannot be returned to service  
19 through the re-verification program. The historic level of about 2,000 new meter  
20 purchases annually need not continue in 2008, as the Smart Meter Program will cover the  
21 cost of replacing failed or obsolete meters. However, about 80,000 meters which do not  
22 qualify for smart meter replacement, still require an adequate inventory, with about 100  
23 new meter purchases per year. The total spending for this initiative during 2008 is \$0.1  
24 million.

25

26 The 2008 spending requirement for this program is \$2.5 million. This proposed funding  
27 level is \$2.1 million higher than that for the bridge year and \$1.1 million higher than the  
28 historic average. The increase is mainly due to the need to fund the new meter

1 installations at shared distribution stations. These increases are offset to some degree by  
2 savings from the lower inventory of electro-mechanical meters required as a result of  
3 Measurement Canada's dispensation on meter testing and verifications through the  
4 implementation of the smart meter program.

5