Hydro One Networks Inc.
483 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 2P5

LOCAL PLANNING REPORT

Timmins / Kirkland Lake Voltage Regulation
Region: North & East of Sudbury

Revision: FINAL
Date: August 8, 2016

Prepared by: Hydro One Networks Inc (Transmission & Distribution)

Study Team

Organization
Hydro One Networks Inc. (Lead Transmitter)
Hydro One Networks Inc. (Distribution)

DISCLAIMER

This Local Planning Report was prepared for the purpose of developing wires-only options and recommending a preferred solution(s) to address the local needs identified in the Needs Assessment (NA) report for the North & East of Sudbury Region that do not require further coordinated regional planning. The preferred solution(s) that have been identified through this Local Planning Report may be reevaluated based on the findings of further analysis. The load forecast and results reported in this Local Planning Report are based on the information and assumptions provided by study team participants.

Study team participants, their respective affiliated organizations, and Hydro One Networks Inc. (collectively, "the Authors") make no representations or warranties (express, implied, statutory or otherwise) as to the Local Planning Report or its contents, including, without limitation, the accuracy or completeness of the information therein and shall not, under any circumstances whatsoever, be liable to each other, or to any third party for whom the Local Planning Report was prepared ("the Intended Third Parties"), or to any other third party reading or receiving the Local Planning Report ("the Other Third Parties"), for any direct, indirect or consequential loss or damages or for any punitive, incidental or special damages or any loss of profit, loss of contract, loss of opportunity or loss of goodwill resulting from or in any way related to the reliance on, acceptance or use of the Local Planning Report or its contents by any person or entity, including, but not limited to, the aforementioned persons and entities.

[This page is intentionally left blank]

LOCAL PLANNING EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

REGION	North & East of Sudbury (the "Region")		
LEAD	Hydro One Networks Inc. ("Hydro One")		
START DATE	May 9, 2016	**END DATE**	November 30, 2016

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this Local Planning (LP) report is to develop wires-only option and recommend a preferred solution that will address the local needs identified in the Needs Assessment (NA) report for the North & East of Sudbury Region dated April 15, 2016. The development of the LP report is in accordance with the regional planning process as set out in the Ontario Energy Board's (OEB) Transmission System Code (TSC) and Distribution System Code (DSC) requirements and the "Planning Process Working Group (PPWG) Report to the Board".

Based on Section 7 of the NA report, the study team recommended that no further coordinated regional planning is required to address the needs in the North & East of Sudbury region. These needs are local in nature and will be addressed by wires options through local planning led by Hydro One with participation of the impacted LDC.

2. LOCAL NEEDS ADDRESSED IN THIS REPORT

The Timmins and Kirkland Lake area voltage regulation are local needs addressed in this report.

3. OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Hydro One (Transmitter) and Hydro One Distribution (LDC) have considered addressing the Timmins TS voltage regulation need with the following options;

Alternative 0 – Status Quo.

Alternative 1 - Implement a Load Rejection Scheme on T61S and P7G

Hydro One (Transmitter) and Hydro One Distribution (LDC) have agreed that Alternative 0 – Status Quo is the only option to be considered for Kirkland Lake TS voltage regulation need.

See Section 3 for further detail.

4. PREFERRED SOLUTION

The preferred solution at this time for both the Timmins TS and Kirkland Lake TS voltage regulation needs are Alternative 0 – Status Quo. See Section 4 for details.

5. NEXT STEPS

The next steps are summarized in section 5

[This page is intentionally left blank]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

1 Introduction

The Needs Assessment (NA) for the North & East of Sudbury ("Region") was triggered in response to the Ontario Energy Board's (OEB) Regional Infrastructure Planning process approved in August 2013. Prior to the new regional planning process coming into effect, planning activities were already underway in the Region to address some specific station capacity needs. The NA report can be found on Hydro One's Regional Planning website. The study team identified needs that are emerging in the North & East of Sudbury Region over the next ten years (2016-2026) and recommended whether they should be further assessed through the transmitter-led Local Planning (LP) process or the IESO-led Scoping Assessment (SA) process.

1.1 North & East of Sudbury Region Description and Connection Configuration

The North & East of Sudbury Region are bounded by regions of North Bay, Timmins, Hearst, Moosonee, Kirkland Lake and Dymond. A map of the region is shown below in Figure 1.



Figure 1: North & East of Sudbury Region Map

Electrical supply for this region is provided through a network of 230kV and 115kV transmission circuits. This area is further reinforced through the 500kV circuits P502X and D501P connecting Pinard TS to Hanmer TS. This region has the following four local distribution companies (LDC):

Hydro One Networks (distribution)
Northern Ontario Wires Inc
Hearst Power Ltd
North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd.

Table 1: Transmission Lines and Stations in North & East of Sudbury Region

115kV circuits	230kV circuits	500kV circuits	Hydro One Transformer Stations
L5H, L1S D2L, D3K A8K, A9K K2, K4 A4H, A5H D2H, D3H P7G, H9K P13T, P15T T61S, F1E L8L, T7M T8M, H6T H7T, D6T	H23S, H24S W71D, P91G D23G, K38S R21D, L20D L21S, H22D	P502X, D501P	Ansonville TS * Crystal Falls TS Dymond TS * Hearst TS Hunta SS Kapuskasing TS Kirkland Lake TS Little Long SS Moosonee SS North Bay TS Otter Rapids SS Otto Holden TS * Pinard TS * Porcupine TS * Spruce Falls TS* Timmins TS Trout Lake TS Widdifield SS

*Stations with Autotransformers installed



Figure 2: North and East of Sudbury Regional Planning Electrical Diagram

2 Area Needs

2.1 North & East of Sudbury Region Needs

As an outcome of the NA process, the study team identified voltage regulation issues at Timmins TS and Kirkland Lake TS which are addressed in this report. Local planning was recommended, and Hydro One as the transmitter, with the impacted LDC further undertook planning assessments to address the following needs;

- Timmins TS voltage regulation - The loss of Porcupine TS 115kV circuit breakers (K1K4 and K1K2) may result in voltage declines at Timmins TS 115kV bus in excess of 10%. This is considered an n-1-1 contingency and load rejection following the loss of the second element was proposed by IESO to improve post contingency voltage performance. See Figure 3 – Timmins area connection diagram for reference.

- Kirkland Lake TS voltage regulation - The loss of Ansonville T2 and D3K may result in voltage declines at Kirkland Lake TS 115kV bus in excess of 10%. This is considered an n-1-1 contingency and all new loads in the area will be required to participate in a local load rejection scheme to help improve post contingency voltage performance.



Figure 3: Timmins area connection diagram

3 Alternatives Considered

3.1 Timmins TS Voltage regulation

Alternative 1 – Status Quo.

No further action is required at this time. Hydro One and LDC will monitor the loads and voltages in the area in the upcoming years. Further review of this issue will be undertaken in the next planning cycle or earlier if there is evidence that load cannot be served or system cannot be operated in a safe, secure and reliable manner. Voltage issues can be addressed with operating procedures which are presently in place without any use of load rejection.

Alternative 2 – Implement Load Rejection on T61S, P7G, P15T to control Timmins TS voltages

This option will require expansion of the Northeast LR/GR scheme to include tripping of the Hydro One 115kV T61S, P7G, and P15T circuits upon contingency of both Porcupine TS K1K4 and K1K2 circuit breakers. This will allow for automatic load rejection of approximately 40MW of load.

Table 2: Budgetary Cost for Alternatives

Options Considered	Cost
Alternative 1 – Hydro One to assess voltage performance with no immediate investment.	--
Alternative 2 – Expand Northeast Special Protection Scheme (SPS) to include P15T, P7G, T61S circuits	$2M

3.2 Kirkland Lake TS Voltage regulation

Alternative 1 – Status Quo. See details in section 4 below.

4 Preferred Solution and Reasoning

4.1 Timmins TS Voltage regulation

Hydro One Networks and Hydro One Distribution have reviewed all alternatives and the preferred solution at this time is, Alternative 1 – Status Quo.

The study team acknowledges that Timmins TS 115kV bus may experience voltages below ORTAC requirements following a contingency to both Porcupine TS K1K4 and K1K2 breakers. The possibility of this scenario is remote and there are established operating measures in place should the first Porcupine TS breaker (either K1K4 or K1K2) be placed out of service. The following control measures are taken which help alleviate the voltage decline post contingency.

- Open Timmins TS LV breaker to offload Timmins TS from P15T
- Transfer P7G load to P91G by closing breaker B5L2 at Kidd Creek Metsite and open Porcupine TS switch 30-P7G
- Place one Abitibi Canyon 115kV unit on condenser mode.

Hydro One Networks and Hydro One Distribution have agreed that these operating measures are a preferred alternative to load rejection. In addition, implementing the load rejection scheme will expose the customers in the area to unnecessary interruption due to misoperation of the load rejection scheme.

Hydro One will continue to monitor Timmins area load growth from both LDCs and industrial customers to ensure load growth (if any) does not make voltage situation worse whereby the above operating measures are no longer effective. The next planning cycle will take place within five years and an investment can be triggered at any time should there be a situation where load cannot be served or system cannot be operated safely and reliably.

4.2 Kirkland Lake TS Voltage Regulation

Hydro One Networks and Hydro One Distribution agree that new loads in the Kirkland Lake or Dymond area may be subject to participate in an under voltage load rejection scheme as part to help control voltages in the area post contingency. Presently there is no load growth in the area over the study period. Investments are not required at this time for existing LDC loads and Hydro One will monitor load growth in the area and take corrective action as required or when instructed to do so by the IESO as proponent connection requirements. These will be identified during the load connection process after the connection applications and will be implemented by Hydro One.

5 Next Steps

A summary of the next steps, actions/solutions and timelines required to address the local needs are as follows:

Table 3: Solutions and Timeframe

Need	Action / Recommended Solution	Lead Responsibility	Timeframe
Timmins TS Voltage Regulation	• No Immediate action required • Hydro One and LDC to monitor area load growth	Hydro One Networks	Five years
Kirkland Lake TS Voltage Regulation	• No Immediate action required • Connection requirements for new transmission or distribution connections to be implemented as identified during system studies.	Hydro One Networks	N/A

6 References

[1] Planning Process Working Group (PPWG) Report to the Board: The Process for Regional Infrastructure Planning in Ontario – May 17, 2013

[2] IESO Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria (ORTAC)

[3] North & East of Sudbury Needs Assessment Report

Appendix A: Load Forecast for North & East of Sudbury Stations

Transformer Station Name	Customer Data (MW)	Historical Term Forecast (MW)			Near Term Forecast (MW)					Medium Term Forecast (MW)					
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Kapuskasing TS	Gross Peak Load				13.5	13.6	13.6	13.7	13.8	13.8	13.9	13.9	14.0	14.0	14.0
	Net Load Forecast	26.1	16.1	13.5	13.4	13.3	13.2	13.2	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
Trout Lake TS	Gross Peak Load				121.9	122.2	122.7	123.3	123.9	125.3	126.7	127.1	128.4	129.8	131.2
	Net Load Forecast	147.5	124.1	119.4	120.6	120.0	119.1	118.5	118.1	118.7	119.2	119.1	119.7	120.5	121.1
Dymond TS	Gross Peak Load				32.7	32.9	33.1	33.6	34.0	34.2	34.4	34.6	34.8	35.0	35.2
	Net Load Forecast	37.7	34.6	32.4	32.4	32.3	32.2	32.2	32.4	32.4	32.4	32.4	32.4	32.5	32.5
Kirkland Lake TS	Gross Peak Load				32.2	32.3	32.6	32.9	33.3	33.5	33.7	33.8	34.0	34.1	34.3
	Net Load Forecast	43.8	35.7	31.9	31.9	31.7	31.6	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.6
Timmins TS	Gross Peak Load				53.4	53.7	54.2	54.9	55.6	56.0	56.4	56.7	57.0	57.4	57.7
	Net Load Forecast	51.0	51.1	52.9	52.8	52.7	52.6	52.7	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.1	53.2	53.2	53.3
Hearst TS	Gross Peak Load				27.5	27.6	28.8	29.1	29.3	29.5	29.7	29.9	30.0	30.2	30.4
	Net Load Forecast	27.8	27.3	27.2	27.2	27.1	28.0	27.9	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0
Herridge Lake DS	Gross Peak Load				3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5
	Net Load Forecast	3.5	3.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
Temagami DS	Gross Peak Load				2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6
	Net Load Forecast	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
LaForest Rd TS	Gross Peak Load				10.4	10.4	10.5	10.7	10.8	10.9	10.9	11.0	11.1	11.1	11.2
	Net Load Forecast	12.8	9.7	10.3	10.3	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
Hoyle TS	Gross Peak Load				8.9	8.9	9.0	9.2	9.3	9.4	9.5	9.5	9.6	9.7	9.7
	Net Load Forecast	9.3	10.4	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	9.0	9.0
Monteith DS	Gross Peak Load				2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
	Net Load Forecast	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
Ramore TS	Gross Peak Load				9.1	9.2	9.3	9.5	9.7	9.8	9.9	10.1	10.2	10.3	10.4
	Net Load Forecast	8.2	9.1	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.1	9.2	9.3	9.4	9.4	9.5	9.6	9.6
Cochrane West DS	Gross Peak Load				3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1
	Net Load Forecast	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Smooth Rock Falls DS	Gross Peak Load				2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Net Load Forecast	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
Fauquier DS	Gross Peak Load				2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4
	Net Load Forecast	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
Moosonee DS	Gross Peak Load				14.2	14.3	14.4	14.6	14.8	14.9	15.0	15.0	15.1	15.2	15.3
	Net Load Forecast	18.0	13.5	14.1	14.1	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1
Calstock DS	Gross Peak Load				5.0	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5
	Net Load Forecast	5.1	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1
Mattawa DS	Gross Peak Load				5.5	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.9
	Net Load Forecast				5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
Iroquois Falls DS	Gross Peak Load				10.8	10.9	10.9	11.0	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.3	11.3
	Net Load Forecast	5.1	4.9	4.9	10.7	10.7	10.6	10.6	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
Crystal Falls TS	Gross Peak Load				9.9	10.0	10.0	10.2	10.3	10.4	10.4	10.5	10.5	10.6	10.6
	Net Load Forecast	18.7	11.1	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.7	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8
Cochrane MTS	Gross Peak Load				11.3	11.4	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6
	Net Load Forecast	10.3	10.9	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.2	11.1	11.0	11.0	10.9	10.8	10.8	10.7	10.7
North Bay	Gross Peak Load				39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.4	39.8	40.2	40.6	41.0	41.4
	Net Load Forecast	29.0	39.0	25.0	38.6	38.3	37.9	37.5	37.2	37.3	37.4	37.7	37.8	38.0	38.2

Load Forecast for North & East of Sudbury Stations (Continued)

Transformer Station Name	Customer Data (MW)	Historical Term Forecast (MW)			Near Term Forecast (MW)					Medium Term Forecast (MW)					
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Weston Lake DS	Gross Peak Load				4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Net Load Forecast	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Shiningtree DS	Gross Peak Load				4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Net Load Forecast	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2

Appendix B: Acronyms

BES	Bulk Electric System
BPS	Bulk Power System
CDM	Conservation and Demand Management
CIA	Customer Impact Assessment
CGS	Customer Generating Station
CTS	Customer Transformer Station
DESN	Dual Element Spot Network
DG	Distributed Generation
DSC	Distribution System Code
GS	Generating Station
GTA	Greater Toronto Area
IESO	Independent Electricity System Operator
IRRP	Integrated Regional Resource Planning
kV	Kilovolt
LDC	Local Distribution Company
LP	Local Planning
LTE	Long Term Emergency
LTR	Limited Time Rating
LV	Low-voltage
MW	Megawatt
MVA	Mega Volt-Ampere
NA	Needs Assessment
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NGS	Nuclear Generating Station
NPCC	Northeast Power Coordinating Council Inc.
OEB	Ontario Energy Board
OPA	Ontario Power Authority
ORTAC	Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria
PF	Power Factor
PPWG	Planning Process Working Group
RIP	Regional Infrastructure Planning
SIA	System Impact Assessment
SS	Switching Station
TS	Transformer Station
TSC	Transmission System Code
ULTC	Under Load Tap Changer