

Hydro One Network Inc.

483 Bay Street
13th Floor, North Tower
Toronto, ON M5G 2P5
www.HydroOne.com

Tel: (416) 345.5420
Ajay.Garg@HydroOne.com



North/East of Sudbury Regional Infrastructure Plan (“RIP”)

April 13, 2017

Northern Ontario Wires Inc.

Hearst Power Ltd.

North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd.

Hydro One Networks Inc. (Distribution)

North/East of Sudbury Region is the area roughly bordered by Moosonee on the North, Hearst on the North-West, Ferris South and Kirkland Lake on the East.

The Local Planning (“LP”) report for the North/East of Sudbury Region was completed on August 8, 2016 (see attached), and identified the following needs in the region:

- Timmins TS/Kirkland Lake TS – Voltage Regulation Issues:

In the LP report, the study team acknowledged that the Timmins TS 115kV bus may experience voltages below ORTAC requirements following a contingency to both Porcupine TS K1K4 and K1K2 breakers. Operating measures are established to control the voltage decline post contingency, and the study team concluded no action is currently required. Hydro One will continue to monitor Timmins area load growth to ensure operating measures outlined in the LP report continue to be effective for voltage regulations.

The LP also report concluded that corrective actions to control voltage violations on the system may be required for any new loads in the Kirkland Lake or Dymond area.

Consistent with a process established by an industry working group¹ created by the OEB the Regional Infrastructure Plan (“RIP”) is the last phase of the planning process. In view that no further regional coordination was required, the attached NA and LP reports will be deemed to form the RIP for the North/East of Sudbury Region.

The next planning cycle for the region will take place within five years of the start of this cycle (2021) or earlier, should there be a new need identified in the region.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ajay Garg", written over a horizontal line.

Ajay Garg | Manager, Regional Planning Co-ordination
Hydro One Networks Inc.

¹ Planning Process Working Group (PPWG) Report to the Ontario Energy Board available at the OEB website www.ontarioenergyboard.ca



Hydro One Networks Inc.
483 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 2P5

LOCAL PLANNING REPORT

**Timmins / Kirkland Lake Voltage Regulation
Region: North & East of Sudbury**

**Revision: FINAL
Date: August 8, 2016**

Prepared by: Hydro One Networks Inc (Transmission & Distribution)



Study Team

Organization
Hydro One Networks Inc. (Lead Transmitter)
Hydro One Networks Inc. (Distribution)

DISCLAIMER

This Local Planning Report was prepared for the purpose of developing wires-only options and recommending a preferred solution(s) to address the local needs identified in the Needs Assessment (NA) report for the North & East of Sudbury Region that do not require further coordinated regional planning. The preferred solution(s) that have been identified through this Local Planning Report may be reevaluated based on the findings of further analysis. The load forecast and results reported in this Local Planning Report are based on the information and assumptions provided by study team participants.

Study team participants, their respective affiliated organizations, and Hydro One Networks Inc. (collectively, “the Authors”) make no representations or warranties (express, implied, statutory or otherwise) as to the Local Planning Report or its contents, including, without limitation, the accuracy or completeness of the information therein and shall not, under any circumstances whatsoever, be liable to each other, or to any third party for whom the Local Planning Report was prepared (“the Intended Third Parties”), or to any other third party reading or receiving the Local Planning Report (“the Other Third Parties”), for any direct, indirect or consequential loss or damages or for any punitive, incidental or special damages or any loss of profit, loss of contract, loss of opportunity or loss of goodwill resulting from or in any way related to the reliance on, acceptance or use of the Local Planning Report or its contents by any person or entity, including, but not limited to, the aforementioned persons and entities.

[This page is intentionally left blank]

LOCAL PLANNING EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

REGION	North & East of Sudbury (the “Region”)		
LEAD	Hydro One Networks Inc. (“Hydro One”)		
START DATE	May 9, 2016	END DATE	November 30, 2016
1. INTRODUCTION			
<p>The purpose of this Local Planning (LP) report is to develop wires-only option and recommend a preferred solution that will address the local needs identified in the Needs Assessment (NA) report for the North & East of Sudbury Region dated April 15, 2016. The development of the LP report is in accordance with the regional planning process as set out in the Ontario Energy Board’s (OEB) Transmission System Code (TSC) and Distribution System Code (DSC) requirements and the “Planning Process Working Group (PPWG) Report to the Board”.</p> <p>Based on Section 7 of the NA report, the study team recommended that no further coordinated regional planning is required to address the needs in the North & East of Sudbury region. These needs are local in nature and will be addressed by wires options through local planning led by Hydro One with participation of the impacted LDC.</p>			
2. LOCAL NEEDS ADDRESSED IN THIS REPORT			
<p>The Timmins and Kirkland Lake area voltage regulation are local needs addressed in this report.</p>			
3. OPTIONS CONSIDERED			
<p>Hydro One (Transmitter) and Hydro One Distribution (LDC) have considered addressing the Timmins TS voltage regulation need with the following options;</p> <p>Alternative 0 – Status Quo.</p> <p>Alternative 1 - Implement a Load Rejection Scheme on T61S and P7G</p> <p>Hydro One (Transmitter) and Hydro One Distribution (LDC) have agreed that Alternative 0 – Status Quo is the only option to be considered for Kirkland Lake TS voltage regulation need.</p> <p>See Section 3 for further detail.</p>			
4. PREFERRED SOLUTION			
<p>The preferred solution at this time for both the Timmins TS and Kirkland Lake TS voltage regulation needs are Alternative 0 – Status Quo. See Section 4 for details.</p>			
5. NEXT STEPS			
<p>The next steps are summarized in section 5</p>			

[This page is intentionally left blank]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Disclaimer	3
Local Planning Executive Summary.....	5
Table of Contents.....	7
List of Figures.....	8
List of Tables	8
1 Introduction	9
1.1 North & East of Sudbury Region Description and Connection Configuration.....	9
2 Area Needs	13
2.1 North & East of Sudbury Region Needs	13
3 Alternatives Considered	14
3.1 Timmins TS Voltage regulation.....	14
3.2 Kirkland Lake TS Voltage regulation	14
4 Preferred Solution and Reasoning	15
4.1 Timmins TS Voltage regulation.....	15
4.2 Kirkland Lake TS Voltage Regulation.....	15
5 Next Steps.....	16
6 References	17
Appendix A: Load Forecast for North & East of Sudbury Stations	18
Appendix B: Acronyms	20

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: North & East of Sudbury Region Map..... 10
Figure 2: North and East of Sudbury Regional Planning Electrical Diagram 12
Figure 3: Timmins area connection diagram 13

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Transmission Lines and Stations in North & East of Sudbury Region 11
Table 2: Budgetary Cost for Alternatives 14
Table 3: Solutions and Timeframe..... 16

1 Introduction

The Needs Assessment (NA) for the North & East of Sudbury (“Region”) was triggered in response to the Ontario Energy Board’s (OEB) Regional Infrastructure Planning process approved in August 2013. Prior to the new regional planning process coming into effect, planning activities were already underway in the Region to address some specific station capacity needs. The NA report can be found on Hydro One’s Regional Planning website. The study team identified needs that are emerging in the North & East of Sudbury Region over the next ten years (2016-2026) and recommended whether they should be further assessed through the transmitter-led Local Planning (LP) process or the IESO-led Scoping Assessment (SA) process.

1.1 North & East of Sudbury Region Description and Connection Configuration

The North & East of Sudbury Region are bounded by regions of North Bay, Timmins, Hearst, Moosonee, Kirkland Lake and Dymond. A map of the region is shown below in Figure 1.



Figure 1: North & East of Sudbury Region Map

Electrical supply for this region is provided through a network of 230kV and 115kV transmission circuits. This area is further reinforced through the 500kV circuits P502X and D501P connecting Pinard TS to Hanmer TS. This region has the following four local distribution companies (LDC):

Hydro One Networks (distribution)
 Northern Ontario Wires Inc
 Hearst Power Ltd
 North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd.

Table 1: Transmission Lines and Stations in North & East of Sudbury Region

115kV circuits	230kV circuits	500kV circuits	Hydro One Transformer Stations
L5H, L1S D2L, D3K A8K, A9K K2, K4 A4H, A5H D2H, D3H P7G, H9K P13T, P15T T61S, F1E L8L, T7M T8M, H6T H7T, D6T	H23S, H24S W71D, P91G D23G, K38S R21D, L20D L21S, H22D	P502X, D501P	Ansonville TS * Crystal Falls TS Dymond TS * Hearst TS Hunta SS Kapuskaing TS Kirkland Lake TS Little Long SS Moosonee SS North Bay TS Otter Rapids SS Otto Holden TS * Pinard TS * Porcupine TS * Spruce Falls TS* Timmins TS Trout Lake TS Widdifield SS

*Stations with Autotransformers installed




Figure 2: North and East of Sudbury Regional Planning Electrical Diagram

2 Area Needs

2.1 North & East of Sudbury Region Needs

As an outcome of the NA process, the study team identified voltage regulation issues at Timmins TS and Kirkland Lake TS which are addressed in this report. Local planning was recommended, and Hydro One as the transmitter, with the impacted LDC further undertook planning assessments to address the following needs;

- Timmins TS voltage regulation - The loss of Porcupine TS 115kV circuit breakers (K1K4 and K1K2) may result in voltage declines at Timmins TS 115kV bus in excess of 10%. This is considered an n-1-1 contingency and load rejection following the loss of the second element was proposed by IESO to improve post contingency voltage performance. See Figure 3 – Timmins area connection diagram for reference.
- Kirkland Lake TS voltage regulation - The loss of Ansonville T2 and D3K may result in voltage declines at Kirkland Lake TS 115kV bus in excess of 10%. This is considered an n-1-1 contingency and all new loads in the area will be required to participate in a local load rejection scheme to help improve post contingency voltage performance.




Figure 3: Timmins area connection diagram

3 Alternatives Considered

3.1 Timmins TS Voltage regulation

Alternative 1 – Status Quo.

No further action is required at this time. Hydro One and LDC will monitor the loads and voltages in the area in the upcoming years. Further review of this issue will be undertaken in the next planning cycle or earlier if there is evidence that load cannot be served or system cannot be operated in a safe, secure and reliable manner. Voltage issues can be addressed with operating procedures which are presently in place without any use of load rejection.

Alternative 2 – Implement Load Rejection on T61S, P7G, P15T to control Timmins TS voltages

This option will require expansion of the Northeast LR/GR scheme to include tripping of the Hydro One 115kV T61S, P7G, and P15T circuits upon contingency of both Porcupine TS K1K4 and K1K2 circuit breakers. This will allow for automatic load rejection of approximately 40MW of load.

Table 2: Budgetary Cost for Alternatives

Options Considered	Cost
Alternative 1 – Hydro One to assess voltage performance with no immediate investment.	--
Alternative 2 – Expand Northeast Special Protection Scheme (SPS) to include P15T, P7G, T61S circuits	\$2M

3.2 Kirkland Lake TS Voltage regulation

Alternative 1 – Status Quo. See details in section 4 below.

4 Preferred Solution and Reasoning

4.1 Timmins TS Voltage regulation

Hydro One Networks and Hydro One Distribution have reviewed all alternatives and the preferred solution at this time is, Alternative 1 – Status Quo.

The study team acknowledges that Timmins TS 115kV bus may experience voltages below ORTAC requirements following a contingency to both Porcupine TS K1K4 and K1K2 breakers. The possibility of this scenario is remote and there are established operating measures in place should the first Porcupine TS breaker (either K1K4 or K1K2) be placed out of service. The following control measures are taken which help alleviate the voltage decline post contingency.

- Open Timmins TS LV breaker to offload Timmins TS from P15T
- Transfer P7G load to P91G by closing breaker B5L2 at Kidd Creek Metsite and open Porcupine TS switch 30-P7G
- Place one Abitibi Canyon 115kV unit on condenser mode.

Hydro One Networks and Hydro One Distribution have agreed that these operating measures are a preferred alternative to load rejection. In addition, implementing the load rejection scheme will expose the customers in the area to unnecessary interruption due to misoperation of the load rejection scheme.

Hydro One will continue to monitor Timmins area load growth from both LDCs and industrial customers to ensure load growth (if any) does not make voltage situation worse whereby the above operating measures are no longer effective. The next planning cycle will take place within five years and an investment can be triggered at any time should there be a situation where load cannot be served or system cannot be operated safely and reliably.

4.2 Kirkland Lake TS Voltage Regulation

Hydro One Networks and Hydro One Distribution agree that new loads in the Kirkland Lake or Dymond area may be subject to participate in an under voltage load rejection scheme as part to help control voltages in the area post contingency. Presently there is no load growth in the area over the study period. Investments are not required at this time for existing LDC loads and Hydro One will monitor load growth in the area and take corrective action as required or when instructed to do so by the IESO as proponent connection requirements. These will be identified during the load connection process after the connection applications and will be implemented by Hydro One.

5 Next Steps

A summary of the next steps, actions/solutions and timelines required to address the local needs are as follows:

Table 3: Solutions and Timeframe

Need	Action / Recommended Solution	Lead Responsibility	Timeframe
Timmins TS Voltage Regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Immediate action required • Hydro One and LDC to monitor area load growth 	Hydro One Networks	Five years
Kirkland Lake TS Voltage Regulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No Immediate action required • Connection requirements for new transmission or distribution connections to be implemented as identified during system studies. 	Hydro One Networks	N/A

6 References

- [1] Planning Process Working Group (PPWG) Report to the Board: The Process for Regional Infrastructure Planning in Ontario – May 17, 2013
- [2] IESO Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria (ORTAC)
- [3] North & East of Sudbury Needs Assessment Report

Appendix A: Load Forecast for North & East of Sudbury Stations

Transformer Station Name	Customer Data (MW)	Historical Term Forecast (MW)			Near Term Forecast (MW)					Medium Term Forecast (MW)					
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Kapusking TS	Gross Peak Load				13.5	13.6	13.6	13.7	13.8	13.8	13.9	13.9	14.0	14.0	14.0
	Net Load Forecast	26.1	16.1	13.5	13.4	13.3	13.2	13.2	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
Trout Lake TS	Gross Peak Load				121.9	122.2	122.7	123.3	123.9	125.3	126.7	127.1	128.4	129.8	131.2
	Net Load Forecast	147.5	124.1	119.4	120.6	120.0	119.1	118.5	118.1	118.7	119.2	119.1	119.7	120.5	121.1
Dymond TS	Gross Peak Load				32.7	32.9	33.1	33.6	34.0	34.2	34.4	34.6	34.8	35.0	35.2
	Net Load Forecast	37.7	34.6	32.4	32.4	32.3	32.2	32.2	32.4	32.4	32.4	32.4	32.4	32.5	32.5
Kirkland Lake TS	Gross Peak Load				32.2	32.3	32.6	32.9	33.3	33.5	33.7	33.8	34.0	34.1	34.3
	Net Load Forecast	43.8	35.7	31.9	31.9	31.7	31.6	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	31.6
Timmins TS	Gross Peak Load				53.4	53.7	54.2	54.9	55.6	56.0	56.4	56.7	57.0	57.4	57.7
	Net Load Forecast	51.0	51.1	52.9	52.8	52.7	52.6	52.7	53.0	53.0	53.0	53.1	53.2	53.2	53.3
Hearst TS	Gross Peak Load				27.5	27.6	28.8	29.1	29.3	29.5	29.7	29.9	30.0	30.2	30.4
	Net Load Forecast	27.8	27.3	27.2	27.2	27.1	28.0	27.9	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0
Herridge Lake DS	Gross Peak Load				3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5
	Net Load Forecast	3.5	3.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2
Temagami DS	Gross Peak Load				2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6
	Net Load Forecast	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
LaForest Rd TS	Gross Peak Load				10.4	10.4	10.5	10.7	10.8	10.9	10.9	11.0	11.1	11.1	11.2
	Net Load Forecast	12.8	9.7	10.3	10.3	10.2	10.2	10.2	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
Hoyle TS	Gross Peak Load				8.9	8.9	9.0	9.2	9.3	9.4	9.5	9.5	9.6	9.7	9.7
	Net Load Forecast	9.3	10.4	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9	9.0	9.0
Monteith DS	Gross Peak Load				2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
	Net Load Forecast	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8
Ramore TS	Gross Peak Load				9.1	9.2	9.3	9.5	9.7	9.8	9.9	10.1	10.2	10.3	10.4
	Net Load Forecast	8.2	9.1	8.9	9.0	9.0	9.1	9.1	9.2	9.3	9.4	9.4	9.5	9.6	9.6
Cochrane West DS	Gross Peak Load				3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1
	Net Load Forecast	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Smooth Rock Falls DS	Gross Peak Load				2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4
	Net Load Forecast	2.4	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
Fauquier DS	Gross Peak Load				2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4
	Net Load Forecast	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
Moosonee DS	Gross Peak Load				14.2	14.3	14.4	14.6	14.8	14.9	15.0	15.0	15.1	15.2	15.3
	Net Load Forecast	18.0	13.5	14.1	14.1	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1
Calstock DS	Gross Peak Load				5.0	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5
	Net Load Forecast	5.1	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1
Mattawa DS	Gross Peak Load				5.5	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	5.9	5.9	5.9
	Net Load Forecast				5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.5
Iroquois Falls DS	Gross Peak Load				10.8	10.9	10.9	11.0	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.2	11.2	11.3	11.3
	Net Load Forecast	5.1	4.9	4.9	10.7	10.7	10.6	10.6	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
Crystal Falls TS	Gross Peak Load				9.9	10.0	10.0	10.2	10.3	10.4	10.4	10.5	10.5	10.6	10.6
	Net Load Forecast	18.7	11.1	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.7	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8
Cochrane MTS	Gross Peak Load				11.3	11.4	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6	11.6
	Net Load Forecast	10.3	10.9	11.1	11.1	11.2	11.2	11.1	11.0	11.0	10.9	10.8	10.8	10.7	10.7
North Bay	Gross Peak Load				39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0	39.4	39.8	40.2	40.6	41.0	41.4
	Net Load Forecast	29.0	39.0	25.0	38.6	38.3	37.9	37.5	37.2	37.3	37.4	37.7	37.8	38.0	38.2

Load Forecast for North & East of Sudbury Stations (Continued)

Transformer Station Name	Customer Data (MW)	Historical Term Forecast (MW)			Near Term Forecast (MW)					Medium Term Forecast (MW)					
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Weston Lake DS	Gross Peak Load				4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Net Load Forecast	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Shiningtree DS	Gross Peak Load				4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
	Net Load Forecast	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2

Appendix B: Acronyms

BES	Bulk Electric System
BPS	Bulk Power System
CDM	Conservation and Demand Management
CIA	Customer Impact Assessment
CGS	Customer Generating Station
CTS	Customer Transformer Station
DESN	Dual Element Spot Network
DG	Distributed Generation
DSC	Distribution System Code
GS	Generating Station
GTA	Greater Toronto Area
IESO	Independent Electricity System Operator
IRRP	Integrated Regional Resource Planning
kV	Kilovolt
LDC	Local Distribution Company
LP	Local Planning
LTE	Long Term Emergency
LTR	Limited Time Rating
LV	Low-voltage
MW	Megawatt
MVA	Mega Volt-Ampere
NA	Needs Assessment
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NGS	Nuclear Generating Station
NPCC	Northeast Power Coordinating Council Inc.
OEB	Ontario Energy Board
OPA	Ontario Power Authority
ORTAC	Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria
PF	Power Factor
PPWG	Planning Process Working Group
RIP	Regional Infrastructure Planning
SIA	System Impact Assessment
SS	Switching Station
TS	Transformer Station
TSC	Transmission System Code
ULTC	Under Load Tap Changer

Hydro One Networks Inc.
483 Bay Street
Toronto, Ontario
M5G 2P5

NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT
Region: North and East of Sudbury
Date: April 15, 2016

Prepared by: North and East of Sudbury Region Working Group



North & East of Sudbury Working Group	
Organization	Name
Hydro One Networks Inc. (Lead Transmitter)	Kirpal Bahra Qasim Raza
Independent Electricity System Operator	Chris Reali Philip Woo
Hydro One Networks Inc. (Distribution)	Richard Shannon Daniel Boutros
Northern Ontario Wires Inc	Dan Boucher
Hearst Power Ltd	D Sampson J Richard
North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd	Matt Payne

Disclaimer

This Needs Assessment Report was prepared for the purpose of identifying potential needs in the North & East of Sudbury region and to assess whether those needs require further coordinated regional planning. The potential needs that have been identified through this Needs Assessment Report may be studied further through subsequent regional planning processes and may be reevaluated based on the findings of further analysis. The load forecast and results reported in this Needs Assessment Report are based on the information and assumptions provided by Working Group participants.

Working Group participants, their respective affiliated organizations, and Hydro One Networks Inc. (collectively, “the Authors”) make no representations or warranties (express, implied, statutory or otherwise) as to the Needs Assessment Report or its contents, including, without limitation, the accuracy or completeness of the information therein and shall not, under any circumstances whatsoever, be liable to each other, or to any third party for whom the Needs Assessment Report was prepared (“the Intended Third Parties”), or to any other third party reading or receiving the Needs Assessment Report (“the Other Third Parties”), for any direct, indirect or consequential loss or damages or for any punitive, incidental or special damages or any loss of profit, loss of contract, loss of opportunity or loss of goodwill resulting from or in any way related to the reliance on, acceptance or use of the Needs Assessment Report or its contents by any person or entity, including, but not limited to, the aforementioned persons and entities.

NEEDS ASSESSMENT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

REGION	North & East of Sudbury (the “Region”)		
LEAD	Hydro One Networks Inc. (“Hydro One”)		
START DATE	October 15, 2015	END DATE	April 15, 2016
1. INTRODUCTION			
<p>The purpose of this Needs Assessment (NA) report is to undertake an assessment of the North & East of Sudbury Region and determine if there are regional needs that require coordinated regional planning. Where regional coordination is not required, and a “localized” wires solution is necessary, such needs will be addressed between relevant Local Distribution Companies (LDCs) and Hydro One and other parties as required.</p> <p>For needs that require further regional planning and coordination, IESO will initiate the Scoping Assessment (SA) process to determine whether an IESO-led Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) process, or the transmitter-led Regional Infrastructure Plan (RIP) process (wires solution), or whether both are required.</p>			
2. REGIONAL ISSUE / TRIGGER			
<p>The NA for the North & East of Sudbury Region was triggered in response to the Ontario Energy Board’s (OEB) Regional Infrastructure Planning process approved in August 2013. To prioritize and manage the regional planning process, Ontario’s 21 regions were assigned to one of three groups. The NA for Group 1 and 2 regions is complete and has been initiated for Group 3 Regions. The North & East of Sudbury Region belongs to Group 3, triggered on October 15, 2015 and completed on April 17, 2016</p>			
3. SCOPE OF NEEDS ASSESSMENT			
<p>The scope of the NA study was limited to 10 years as per the recommendations of the Planning Process Working Group (PPWG) Report to the Board. As such, relevant data and information was collected up to the year 2026. Needs emerging over the next 10 years and requiring coordinated regional planning may be further assessed as part of the IESO-led SA, which will determine the appropriate regional planning approach: IRRP, RIP, and/or local planning. This NA included a study of transmission system connection facilities capability, which covers station loading, thermal and voltage analysis as well as a review of system reliability, operational issues such as load restoration, and assets approaching end-of-useful-life.</p>			
4. INPUTS/DATA			
<p>Working Group participants included representatives from LDCs, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO), and Hydro One. The information included: historical load, load forecast, conservation and demand management (CDM) and distributed generation (DG) information, load restoration data, and performance information including major equipment approaching end-of-useful life.</p>			
5. NEEDS ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY			
<p>The assessment’s primary objective is to identify the electrical infrastructure needs and system performance issues in the Region over the study period (2016 to 2026). The assessment reviewed available information, load forecasts and included single contingency analysis to confirm needs, if and when required.</p>			

6. RESULTS - TRANSMISSION NEEDS

A. 500/230kV Autotransformers

The 500/230kV Autotransformers supplying the regional are adequate over the study period for the loss of a single 500/230kV unit.

B. 500/115kV Autotransformers

The 500/115kV Autotransformers supplying the regional are adequate over the study period for the loss of a single 500/115kV unit

C. 230/115 kV Autotransformers

The 230/115kV Autotransformers supplying the regional are adequate over the study period for the loss of a single 230/115kV unit

D. Transmission Lines & Ratings

The 500kV, 230kV transmission lines are adequate over the study period.

Sections of the 115kV H9K circuit may experience thermal overloads during high generation scenarios. This is a bulk system issue and will be addressed jointly with the IESO outside of regional planning.

E. 230 kV and 115 kV Connection Facilities

The 230kV and 115kV connection facilities in this region are adequate over the study period.

F. Outage Condition resulting in P15T,P7G and T61S radially connected to Timmins TS

The loss of K1K4 and K1K2 circuit breakers at Porcupine TS can result in excessive voltage declines at Timmins TS 115kV bus

G. Ansonville T2 or D3K Outages

With Ansonville T2 or D3K out of service, the loss of the other can result in excessive voltage decline at the Kirkland Lake TS 115kV bus.

System Reliability, Operation and Restoration Review

Circuit reliability in the region is acceptable, and Hydro One will continue to monitor performance of supply stations and circuits to ensure customer delivery performance criteria are met.

Restoration requirements for the loss of one element can be met by Hydro One.

Restoration requirements for the loss of up to two elements can be met by Hydro One.

Aging Infrastructure / Replacement Plan

Within the regional planning time horizon, the following work is part of Hydro One approved sustainment business plan

Dymond TS (T3/T4) transformers (2016)

Kirkland Lake TS (T12/T13) transformers (2017)

Timmins TS (T63/T64) with single 83MVA (2016)

Otto Holden TS (T3/T4) autotransformers, and 115kV circuit breakers (2019)

7. RESULTS – NEEDS ASSESSMENT REPORT

Based on the findings of the Needs Assessment, the Working Group recommends that no further regional coordination is required and following needs identified be further assessed as part of Local Planning:

Timmins TS / Kirkland Lake TS – Voltage Regulation Issues

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Needs Assessment Executive Summary	4
Table of Contents	7
List of Figures	7
1 Introduction.....	8
2 Regional Issue / Trigger.....	9
3 Scope of Needs Assessment.....	9
North & East of Sudbury Region Description and Connection Configuration	9
4 Inputs and Data	13
5 Needs Assessment Methodology	13
6 Results.....	15
7 System Reliability, Operation and Restoration.....	15
7.1 Performance	15
7.2 Restoration	15
7.3 Thermal overloading on H9K section.....	16
7.4 Congestion on D3K, A8K, A9K, H6T and H7T.....	16
7.6 Outage Condition Resulting in P15/P7G/T61S radially connected to Timmins ..	16
7.7 Ansonville T2 or D3K outages	16
8 Aging Infrastructure and Replacement of Major Equipment	16
9 Recommendations.....	17
10 Next Steps	17
11 References.....	18
12 Acronyms	19

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: North & East of Sudbury Region Map.....	10
Figure 2 :North and East of Sudbury Regional Planning Electrical Diagram.....	12

1 INTRODUCTION

This Needs Assessment (NA) report provides a summary of needs that are emerging in the North & East of Sudbury Region (“Region”) over the next ten years. The development of the NA report is in accordance with the regional planning process as set out in the Ontario Energy Board’s (OEB) Transmission System Code (TSC) and Distribution System Code (DSC) requirements and the “Planning Process Working Group (PPWG) Report to the Board”.

The purpose of this NA is to undertake an assessment of the North & East of Sudbury Region to identify any near term and/or emerging needs in the area and determine if these needs require a “localized” wires only solution(s) in the near-term and/or a coordinated regional planning assessment. Where a local wires only solution is necessary to address the needs, Hydro One, as transmitter, with Local Distribution Companies (LDC) or other connecting customer(s), will further undertake planning assessments to develop options and recommend a solution(s). For needs that require further regional planning and coordination, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) will initiate the Scoping Assessment (SA) process to determine whether an IESO-led Integrated Regional Resource Planning (IRRP) process, or the transmitter-led Regional Infrastructure Plan (RIP) process (wires solution), or both are required. If localized wires only solutions do not require further coordinated regional planning, the SA may also recommend that local planning between the transmitter and affected LDCs be undertaken to address certain needs.

This report was prepared by Hydro One Inc (“Hydro One”) on behalf of the North & East of Sudbury Region NA Working Group (Table 1). The report captures the results of the assessment based on information provided by LDCs, and the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO).

Table 1: Working Group Participants for North & East of Sudbury Region

No.	Company
1.	Hydro One Networks Inc. (Lead Transmitter)
2.	Independent Electricity System Operator
3.	Northern Ontario Wires Inc
4.	Hydro One Networks Inc. (Distribution)
5.	Hearst Power Ltd
6.	North Bay Hydro Inc.

2 REGIONAL ISSUE / TRIGGER

The NA for the North & East of Sudbury Region was triggered in response to the OEB's Regional Infrastructure Planning process approved in August 2013. To prioritize and manage the regional planning process, Ontario's 21 regions were assigned to one of three groups. The North & East of Sudbury Region belongs to Group 3.

3 SCOPE OF NEEDS ASSESSMENT

This NA covers the North & East of Sudbury Region over an assessment period of 2016 to 2026. The scope of the NA includes a review of transmission system connection facility capability which covers transformer station capacity, thermal capacity, and voltage performance. System reliability, operational issues such as load restoration, and asset replacement plans were also briefly reviewed as part of this NA.

North & East of Sudbury Region Description and Connection Configuration

The North & East of Sudbury Region are bounded by regions of North Bay, Timmins, Hearst, Moosonee, Kirkland Lake and Dymond. A map of the region is shown below in Figure 1.



Figure 1: North & East of Sudbury Region Map

Electrical supply for this region is provided through a network of 230kV and 115kV transmission circuits. This area is further reinforced through the 500kV circuits P502X and D501P connecting Pinard TS to Hanmer TS.

This region has the following four local distribution companies (LDC):

- Hydro One Networks (distribution)
- Northern Ontario Wires Inc
- Hearst Power Ltd
- North Bay Hydro Distribution Ltd.

115kV circuits	230kV circuits	500kV circuits	Hydro One Transformer Stations
L5H, L1S D2L, D3K A8K, A9K K2, K4 A4H, A5H D2H, D3H P7G, H9K P13T, P15T T61S, F1E L8L, T7M T8M, H6T H7T, D6T	H23S, H24S W71D, P91G D23G, K38S R21D, L20D L21S, H22D	P502X, D501P	Ansonville TS * Crystal Falls TS Dymond TS * Hearst TS Hunta SS Kapuskasung TS Kirkland Lake TS Little Long SS Moosonee SS North Bay TS Otter Rapids SS Otto Holden TS * Pinard TS * Porcupine TS * Spruce Falls TS * Timmins TS Trout Lake TS Widdifield SS

***Stations with Autotransformers installed**

Table 2: Transmission Lines and Stations in North & East of Sudbury Region

4 INPUTS AND DATA

In order to conduct this Needs Assessment, Working Group participants provided the following information and data to Hydro One:

- IESO provided:
 - i. Historical Ontario and regional coincident load station peaks, as well as individual station peaks.
 - ii. List of existing reliability and operational issues
 - iii. Conservation and Demand Management (CDM) and Distributed Generation (DG) data
- LDCs provided historical (2013-2015) net load and gross load forecast (2016-2026)
Note: 2026 gross load values were extrapolated from 2025 if required.
- Hydro One (Transmission) provided transformer, station, and circuit ratings
- Any relevant planning information, including planned transmission and distribution investments provided by the transmitter and LDCs, etc.

Load Forecast

As per the data provided by the Working Group, the gross load in region is expected to grow at an average rate of approximately 0.7% annually from 2016-2026.

The net load forecast takes the gross load forecast and applies the planned CDM targets and DG contributions. With these factors in place, the total regional load is expected to increase at an average rate of approximately 0.04% annually from 2016-2026.

Note: Extreme weather scenario factor at 1.057 assessed over the study term.

5 NEEDS ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY

The following methodology and assumptions are made in this Needs Assessment:

1. The Region is winter peaking so this assessment is based on winter peak loads.
2. Forecast loads are provided by the Region's LDCs
3. Load data was provided by industrial customers in the region. Where data was not provided, the load was assumed to be consistent with historical loads.
4. Accounting for (2), (3) above, the gross load forecast and net load forecast were developed. The gross load forecast is used to develop a worst case scenario to identify needs. Where there are issues, the net load forecast which accounts for CDM and DG are analyzed to determine if needs can be deferred. A gross and net non-coincident peak load forecast was used to perform the analysis for this report. A gross and net region-coincident peak load forecast was used to perform the analysis.

5. Review impact of any on-going and/or planned development projects in the Region during the study period.
6. Review and assess impact of any critical/major elements planned/identified to be replaced at the end of their useful life such as autotransformers, cables, and stations.
7. Station capacity adequacy is assessed by comparing the non-coincident peak load with the station's normal planning supply capacity assuming a 90% lagging power factor for stations having no low-voltage capacitor banks or the historical low voltage power factor, whichever is more conservative. For stations having low-voltage capacitor banks, a 95% lagging power factor was assumed or the historical low-voltage power factor, whichever is more conservative. Normal planning supply capacity for transformer stations in this Region is determined by the winter 10-Day Limited Time Rating (LTR). Summer LTR ratings also were reviewed against the station load forecasts over the study period.
8. To identify emerging needs in the Region and determine whether or not further coordinated regional planning should be undertaken, the study was performed observing all elements in service and only one element out of service.
9. Transmission adequacy assessment is primarily based on, but is not limited to, the following criteria:
 - With all elements in service, the system is to be capable of supplying forecast demand with equipment loading within continuous ratings and voltages within normal range.
 - With one element out of service, the system is to be capable of supplying forecast demand with circuit loading within their long-term emergency (LTE) ratings.
 - All voltages must be within pre and post contingency ranges as per Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria (ORTAC) criteria.
 - With one element out of service, no more than 150 MW of load is lost by configuration. Note: This criterion was put in place after the 500 kV Northeast system was built and as such, the system was not originally designed to respect this criteria for the loss of the 500 kV circuits P502X or D501P. Currently the loss of either these circuits can result in the loss of more than 150 MW.
 - With two elements out of service, no more than 600 MW of load is lost by configuration.
 - With up to two elements out of service, the system is capable of meeting the load restoration time limits as per ORTAC criteria.

6 RESULTS

6.1 500/230kV Autotransformers

The 500/230 kV transformers supplying the region are adequate for loss of single 500/230 kV unit.

6.2 500/115kV Autotransformers

The 500/115kV transformers supplying the region are adequate for loss of single unit.

6.3 230/115kV Autotransformers

The 230/115kV transformers supplying the region are adequate for loss of single unit.

6.4 Transmission Lines and Ratings

The 500kV and 230 kV circuits supplying the region are adequate over the study period for the loss of a single 500kV or 230 kV circuit in the Region.

As per section 7.2 below – the 115kV H9K circuit may experience thermal overloads and will be addressed as a bulk system issue outside of regional planning.

6.5 230 kV and 115 kV Connection Facilities

A station capacity assessment was performed over the study period for the 230 kV and 115 kV transformer stations in the Region using the station winter peak load forecast provided by the Working Group. All stations in the area have adequate supply capacity for the study period even in the event of extreme weather scenario

7 SYSTEM RELIABILITY, OPERATION AND RESTORATION

7.1 Performance

The areas of Timmins, Dymond and Abitibi Canyon have experienced severe weather patterns over the last 5 years causing periodic increases of both momentary and sustained outages which have been highlighted by the IESO. The region (including the three mentioned above) does not have circuit performance outliers which would fall below customer delivery point performance standards set forth by the Ontario Energy Board.

Hydro One continually monitors performance of supply stations, and high voltage circuits and will make the necessary steps to address the problem should this issue persist.

7.2 Restoration

Depending on system conditions, the loss of P502X may result in the greatest amount of load lost through North East LR/GR special protection schemes. Based on the load levels in the study period of this assessment, load can be restored within the 30 minute, 4 hour and 8 hour time frames as required by IESO ORTAC Section 7.0. The maximum load which may be interrupted by configuration or load rejection due to the loss of two elements is up to 450MW which is below the ORTAC requirement of 600MW. (loss of P502X with D3K out of service, or vice versa)

7.3 Thermal overloading on H9K section

Under high generation scenarios, IESO has identified pre and post contingency overloads on the 115 kV circuit H9K between *Tembec SRF x H9K 127A* junction.

This is a bulk system issue which will be addressed outside of the scope of regional planning.

7.4 Congestion on D3K, A8K, A9K, H6T and H7T

Under high generation scenarios, IESO has identified there may be congestion on D3K, A8K, A9K, H6T and H7T circuits.

This is a bulk system issue which will be addressed outside of the scope of regional planning.

7.5 Kapuskasing and Calstock Area Generation

Non-utility Generator (“NUG”) contracts are reaching end of term for the Kapuskasing and Calstock Generating Stations. The NUG Framework Assessment Report¹ indicated that local reliability and congestion issues may require further study as this pertains to contracted generation facilities. This is a bulk system issue which will be addressed outside of the scope of regional planning.

7.6 Outage Condition Resulting in P15/P7G/T61S radially connected to Timmins

The loss of K1K4 and K1K2 circuit breakers at Porcupine TS can result in excessive voltage declines at Timmins TS 115kV bus.

This scenario will be addressed in the next stage of regional planning.

7.7 Ansonville T2 or D3K outages

With Ansonville T2 or D3K out of service, the loss of the other can result in excessive voltage decline at Kirkland Lake TS. This scenario will be addressed in the next stage of regional planning.

8 AGING INFRASTRUCTURE AND REPLACEMENT OF MAJOR EQUIPMENT

Hydro One reviewed the sustainment initiatives that are currently planned for the replacement of any autotransformers, power transformers and high-voltage cables. during the study period. At this time the major committed system investments are;

Dymond TS (T3/T4) transformers (2016)

Kirkland Lake TS (T12/T13) transformers (2017)

Timmins TS (T63/T64) with single 83MVA (2016)

Otto Holden TS (T3/T4) autotransformers, and 115kV circuit breakers (2019)

9 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings and discussion in Section 6 of the Needs Assessment report, it is further recommended that voltage regulation issues at Timmins TS and Kirkland Lake TS be best addressed by wires options solution thru local planning led by Hydro One:

10 NEXT STEPS

Based on the findings of the Needs Assessment, the Working Group recommends that no further regional coordination is required and the two voltage regulation needs identified in Section 7 be further assessed as part of Local Planning to be entitled:

Timmins TS / Kirkland Lake TS – Voltage Regulation Issues

11 REFERENCES

- i) [Planning Process Working Group \(PPWG\) Report to the Board: The Process for Regional Infrastructure Planning in Ontario – May 17, 2013](#)
- ii) [IESO 18-Month Outlook: March 2014 – August 2015](#)
- iii) [IESO Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria \(ORTAC\) – Issue 5.0](#)

12 ACRONYMS

BES	Bulk Electric System
BPS	Bulk Power System
CDM	Conservation and Demand Management
CIA	Customer Impact Assessment
CGS	Customer Generating Station
CTS	Customer Transformer Station
DESN	Dual Element Spot Network
DG	Distributed Generation
DSC	Distribution System Code
GS	Generating Station
HVDS	High Voltage Distribution Station
IESO	Independent Electricity System Operator
IRRP	Integrated Regional Resource Planning
kV	Kilovolt
LDC	Local Distribution Company
LTE	Long Term Emergency
LTR	Limited Time Rating
LV	Low-voltage
MW	Megawatt
MVA	Mega Volt-Ampere
NERC	North American Electric Reliability Corporation
NGS	Nuclear Generating Station
NPCC	Northeast Power Coordinating Council Inc.
NA	Needs Assessment
OEB	Ontario Energy Board
ORTAC	Ontario Resource and Transmission Assessment Criteria
PF	Power Factor
PPWG	Planning Process Working Group
RIP	Regional Infrastructure Planning
SIA	System Impact Assessment
SS	Switching Station
TS	Transformer Station
TSC	Transmission System Code
ULTC	Under Load Tap Changer